# POOR **QUALITY** THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT (S) ARE FADED &BLURRED

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

In the Matter of the Petition

of

MORRIS J. REITER

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income: Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1961 and 1962.

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that

she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 10th day of January , 19 74, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Morris J. Reiter

(representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

wrapper addressed as follows:

Mr. Morris J. Reiter 40 Brighton Road

Brooklyn, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

10th day of January, 1974.

Shartha Dunaro

In the Matter of the Petition

of

MORRIS J. REITER

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income: Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s)1961 and 1962;

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that

she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 10th day of January , 1974, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Thomas F.

Cusack, Esq. (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Thomas F. Cusack, Esq.

129 East 45th Street
New York, New York 10017

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

10th day of January , 1974.

marka Dunas



# STATE OF NEW-YORK

# DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12226

> AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

EDWARD ROOK
SECRETARY TO
COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

STATE TAX COMMISSION

DATED: Albany, New York
January 10, 1974

Mr. Morris J. Reiter 40 Brighton Road Brooklyn, New York

Dear Mr. Reiter:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Migel of Wrigh

Migel G. Wright HEARING OFFICER

Enc.

cc:

Petitioner's Representative

Law Bureau

STATE OF NEW YORK

#### STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

MORRIS J. REITER

DECISION

for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Years 1961 and 1962.

Morris J. Reiter filed a petition pursuant to section 689 of the Tax Law for the redetermination of a deficiency, issued under date of May 26, 1969, for personal income taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the years 1961 and 1962.

A hearing was held on June 15, 1972, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York, New York, before Nigel G. Wright, Hearing Officer. Petitioner was represented by Thomas F. Cusack, Esq. The Income Tax Bureau was represented by Saul Heckelman, Esq., appearing by Francis X. Boylan, Esq. The record of said hearing has been duly examined and considered.

#### ISSUE

The issue in this case relates to a finding by the Federal Government that petitioner understated his income by amounts that he allegedly embezzled from his business.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioner operated as a securities dealer and broker under his own name.
  - 2. As a result of an investigation by the office of the Attorney

General of the State of New York, this business was closed down and Mr. Reiter was indicted for grand larceny for misappropriation of customers funds. Mr. Reiter pleaded guilty and served time in jail.

- 3. The Federal Internal Revenue Service recommended a finding of an increase in income as a result of misappropriation in 1961 and 1962. Petitioner has not furnished any documentation as to the outcome of such findings.
- 4. Mr. Reiter now states that it was his cashier who misappropriated the money, that he in fact put more money into the firm to save the business and that he pleaded guilty to the indictment only because he had been misled by an attorney. These statements however are in no way corroborated and can be given little weight.
- 5. Petitioner did not file returns in 1961 and 1962. The failure to report income was negligent.
- 6. The deficiency in issue is on the amount of \$3,752.24 plus interest of \$1,379.32, a penalty under section 685(a) of the Tax Law of \$938.06, and a penalty under section 685(b) of the Tax Law of \$187.61 for a total of \$6,257.23.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The deficiency together with penalties is found to be correct and is due together with such further interest as may be computed under section 684 of the Tax Law.

DATED: Albany, New York January 10, 1974

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

AD 32 (6-73) 250M

Department of Taxation and Finance STATE OF NEW YORK

ALBANY, N. Y. 12227 STATE CAMPUS

Mr. Morrás J. Réiter

40 Brighton Road

Brooklyn, New York

28796

# MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Gabriel DiCerbo, Chief

DATE: 1/31/74

Review Unit

Income Tax Bureau

Room 104, Building #8

SOCIAL SECURITY NO.

FROM: Morrisax Axx Reixex Nigel G. Wright

Hearing Unit

Room 214A, Building #9

RE:

Morris J. Reiter

Please advise as to the last known address for the above named taxpayer.

Taxpayer's last known address is:

40 BRIGHTON ROAD

BROOKLYN, NEW YORK

HECEIVED
NEW YORK STATE
NICOME TAX BUREAU
NICOME TAX BUREAU



STATE TAX COMMISSION

# STATE OF NEW YORK

# DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12226

> AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

Mario A Procaccino

A. BRUCE MANLEY

A. BRUCE MANLEY

A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER

DATED:

Albany, New York January 10, 1974

Mr. Morris J. Reiter 40 Brighton Road Brooklyn, New York

Dear Mr. Reiter:

Please take notice of the DECISION of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Nigel G. Wright

HEARING OFFICER

Enc.

Petitioner's Representative

Law Bureau

#### STATE OF NEW YORK

#### STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

MORRIS J. REITER

DECISION

for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Years 1961 and 1962.

Morris J. Reiter filed a petition pursuant to section 689 of the Tax Law for the redetermination of a deficiency, issued under date of May 26, 1969, for personal income taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the years 1961 and 1962.

A hearing was held on June 15, 1972, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York, New York, before Nigel G. Wright, Hearing Officer. Petitioner was represented by Thomas F. Cusack, Esq. The Income Tax Bureau was represented by Saul Heckelman, Esq., appearing by Francis X. Boylan, Esq. The record of said hearing has been duly examined and considered.

### ISSUE

The issue in this case relates to a finding by the Federal Government that petitioner understated his income by amounts that he allegedly embezzled from his business.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioner operated as a securities dealer and broker under his own name.
  - 2. As a result of an investigation by the office of the Attorney

General of the State of New York, this business was closed down and Mr. Reiter was indicted for grand larceny for misappropriation of customers funds. Mr. Reiter pleaded guilty and served time in jail.

- 3. The Federal Internal Revenue Service recommended a finding of an increase in income as a result of misappropriation in 1961 and 1962. Petitioner has not furnished any documentation as to the outcome of such findings.
- 4. Mr. Reiter now states that it was his cashier who misappropriated the money, that he in fact put more money into the firm to save the business and that he pleaded guilty to the indictment only because he had been misled by an attorney. These statements however are in no way corroborated and can be given little weight.
- 5. Petitioner did not file returns in 1961 and 1962. The failure to report income was negligent.
- 6. The deficiency in issue is on the amount of \$3,752.24 plus interest of \$1,379.32, a penalty under section 685(a) of the Tax Law of \$938.06, and a penalty under section 685(b) of the Tax Law of \$187.61 for a total of \$6,257.23.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The deficiency together with penalties is found to be correct and is due together with such further interest as may be computed under section 684 of the Tax Law.

DATED: Albany, New York January 10, 1974

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER