POOR QUALITY THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT (S) ARE FADED &BLURRED

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

In the Matter of the Petition

of

WALTER T. MARGETTS, JR. and JOSEPHINE S. MARGETTS:
For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income:
Taxes under Article (x) 22 of the Tax Law for the Year(s) 1965, 1966 and: 1967.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

Janet Mack , being duly sworn, deposes and says that
she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 4th day of November , 1974, she served the within
Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Walter T. Margetts, Jr.
and Josephine S. Margettsrepresentatives of the petitioner in the within
proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid
wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. & Mrs. Walter T. Margetts, Jr.
Holly Hill Farms

Morristown, R.D., New Jersey 07960 and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a

anet Mack

(post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representablical XXX) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (xepresentation xxXXX) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

4th day of November , 1974



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING TINET

> EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

STATE TAX COMMISSION

MARIO A. PROCACCINO, PRESIDENT A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214-A STATE CAMPUS **ALBANY, N.Y. 12227**

AREA CODE 518

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

MR. WRIGHT 457-2655 MR. LEISNER 457-2657 MR. COBURN 457-2896

Albany, New York Movember 4, 1974

Mr. & Mrs. Walter T. Margetts, Jr. Holly Hill Farms Morristown, R.D., New Jersey

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Margetts:

Please take notice of the DECISION of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section (x)690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Coburn

HEARING OFFICER

Enc.

cc: Petitioner's Representative

Law Bureau

In the Matter of the Petition

of

WALTER T. MARGETTS, JR. and JOSEPHINE S. MARGETTS

DECISION

for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Years 1965, 1966 and 1967.

Petitioners, Walter T. Margetts, Jr. and Josephine S. Margetts, have filed a petition for a redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal income taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the years 1965, 1966 and 1967. (File No. 73232887).

A formal hearing was held before Paul B. Coburn, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York, New York, on January 17, 1972, at 2:20 P.M. Petitioner, Walter T. Margetts, Jr., appeared pro se and for his wife petitioner, Josephine S. Margetts. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Saul Heckelman, Esq., (Francis X. Boylan, Esq., of counsel).

ISSUE

Was all of the income received by petitioner, Walter T. Margetts, Jr., during the years 1965, 1966 and 1967, from his employer, the Hudson & Manhattan Corporation, allocable to New York State?

FINDINGS OF FACTS

1. Petitioners, Walter T. Margetts, Jr. and Josephine S. Margetts, filed New York State income tax nonresident returns for the years 1965, 1966 and 1967. They claimed that petitioner, Walter T. Margetts, Jr., worked for Hudson & Manhattan Corporation a total of 225 days during 1965 of which 165 days were worked outside of New York State, a total

of 262 days during 1966, of which 216 days were worked outside of New York State, and a total of 254 days during 1967, of which 213 days were worked outside of New York State.

- 2. On April 13, 1970, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Statement of Audit Changes against petitioners, Walter T. Margetts, Jr. and Josephine S. Margetts, imposing additional personal income tax for the years 1965, 1966 and 1967, upon the grounds that services performed outside of New York for the convenience of an employee are not considered days worked outside of New York State for allocation purposes. In accordance with the aforesaid Statement of Audit Changes, it issued a Notice of Deficiency in the sum of \$7,925.69.
- 3. Petitioners, Walter T. Margetts, Jr. and Josephine S. Margetts, were residents of the State of New Jersey during the years 1965, 1966 and 1967. They resided at Holly Hill Farm, Morristown, New Jersey.
- 4. During the years in question, petitioner, Walter T. Margetts, Jr., was president of the Hudson & Manhattan Corporation, formerly the Hudson & Manhattan Railway Company. Two-thirds of its railroad property was located in New Jersey. The corporation maintained offices at 33 Church Street, New York, New York. It had no offices in New Jersey.
- 5. During the years 1965, 1966 and 1967, petitioner, Walter T. Margetts, Jr., served as president of the Hudson & Manhattan Corporation on a part-time basis, with the understanding that his primary obligation was to the Laytham Foundry, Inc. of Paterson, New Jersey, of which he was Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer.
- 6. During the years 1965, 1966 and 1967, the activities of the Hudson & Manhattan Corporation were limited to the trial and appeals of the condemnation case with the Port of New York Authority, which had taken over the physical assets of the corporation as of September 1, 1962. The trial took place in New York. The services

rendered by petitioner, Walter T. Margetts, Jr., consisted of attending directors' meetings in New York, and investing funds for the corporation, which he handled through the office he maintained at the Laytham Foundry, Paterson, New Jersey. Petitioner worked out of his New Jersey office because the Hudson & Manhattan Corporation maintained no offices in New Jersey, and because petitioner's committments to the Laytham Foundry required that he be at his office in Paterson, New Jersey.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That the days worked at the offices of Laytham Foundry, Inc. in Paterson, New Jersey, by petitioner, Walter T. Margetts, Jr., during the years 1965, 1966 and 1967, were worked there by reason of his necessity and convenience and not for the necessity of his employer, Hudson & Manhattan Corporation, and therefore, for purposes of allocation of salary income, said days must be held to be days worked within New York State in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 632(c) of the Tax Law and 20 NYCRR 131.16.
- B. That, therefore, for purposes of allocation of salary income, petitioner, Walter T. Margetts, Jr. worked 225 days in New York State during 1965, 262 days in New York State during 1966 and 254 days in New York State during 1967, in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 632(c) of the Tax Law and 20 NYCRR 131.16.
- C. That the petition of Walter T. Margetts, Jr. and Josephine S. Margetts is denied and the Notice of Deficiency issued April 13, 1970, is sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York November 4, 1974 STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESTDENT

COMMISSIONER