POOR **QUALITY** THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT (S) ARE **FADED & BLURRED**

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

EMANUEL KOPELL

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the Tax Law for the Year(s) 1965

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 9th day of May , 19 74, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Emanuel Kopell (representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. Emanuel Kopell 2500 Johnson Avenue Bronx, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Watha Duraso

Sworn to before me this

9th day of Mar

1974.

AD 32 (6.73) 250M Department of Taxation and Finance STATE CAMPUS

NAC.

ALBANY, N. Y. 12227

333 East ofth Street New York, New York Mr. Emanuel Kopell

Moved, Left no address Addressee unknown

GERTIFIED

204169

In the Matter of the Petition

of

EMANUEL KOPELL

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income
Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the
Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1965

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 1st day of May , 19 74, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Emanuel Kopell (representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. Emanuel Kopell

333 East 66th Street New York, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

lst day of May

S. Van Patten

In the Matter of the Petition

of

EMANUEL KOPELL

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1965

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 1st day of May , 1974, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Morris Pinsley, Esq. (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Morris Pinsley, Esq.

Morris Pinsley, Esq. 200 West 57th Street New York, New York 10019

Washa Dua

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

1st day of May

1974.



STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION S'
Mario A Procaccino
MORIO A Procaccino ALB

A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12227

> AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

EDWARD ROOK
SECRETARY TO
COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

Albany, New York

May 1, 1974

Mr. Hmanuel Kopell 333 Hest 66th Street New York, Hew York

Dear Mr. Kopell:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

of

Please take further notice that pursuant to section 690 of the Tax Law any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months after the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Migel G. Wright

Mysel Wright

HEARING OFFICER

cc Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

EMANUEL KOPELL

DECISION

for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1965.

Emanuel Kopell, filed a petition under section 689 of the Tax Law for the redetermination of deficiency issued under date of November 25, 1968, in personal income taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 1965.

A hearing was held on March 7, 1972, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York City, before Nigel G. Wright, Hearing Officer. The petitioner was represented by Morris Pinsley, Esq. The Income Tax Bureau was represented by Saul Heckelman, Esq., appearing by Francis X. Boylan, Esq., of Counsel. The record of said hearing has been duly examined and considered.

ISSUE

The issue in this case is whether petitioner is a responsible officer of a corporation who willfully failed to pay over withholding taxes.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioner resides in Riverdale, New York City. Petitioner was the owner and operator of a clothing store, "Lynn's" Store, on

14th Street, New York City. He worked full time at the store.

- 2. One of petitioner's friends, a Mr. Lessinger, introduced him to August Firino, who had been in an automobile business, which had been assigned for the benefit of creditors. This business was Downtown Auto Sales & Service, Inc., of 74 Avenue of the Americas near Canal Street. Around April of 1964, the three reached an agreement and petitioner and Lessinger each purchased for \$10,000 one-third of the stock of the corporation hoping to give Tirino a new start and, of course, make profits. Mr. Lessinger was named president and petitioner was named secretary-treasurer. Petitioner was empowered to sign checks on the corporate bank account and would do so when requested by Mr. Tirino. This business was operated as a service station and later got into car leasing. They employed mechanics.
- 3. The corporation showed a profit during its first month, but thereafter showed losses. By April of 1965, petitioner had been warned by the corporation's accountant that there could be embezzelment of corporate funds. In any event, petitioner wanted its sell out. He took no action to resign his office. He attempted to sell his stock to Tirino, but the negotiations fell through.

At about this time, petitioner signed a withholding statement at the request of the accountant and assumed the amounts shown thereon would be paid. However, these are part of the sums which have not been paid. Also, about this time, the corporation apparently changed its bank account and petitioner no longer could sign checks. The corporation failed. The creditors took all of

the assets. The petitioner received nothing for his investment.

4. The deficiency is in the amount of \$338.60 being a penalty under section 685(g) of the Tax Law for failure as a responsible officer of Downtown Auto Sales & Service Center, Inc. to withhold taxes in that amount during the period April 1, 1965 through September 30, 1965.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Petitioner has not sustained the burden of proof that he should be free of this penalty tax. The responsibilities of a corporate officer are serious and mere inaction on the part of such officer or the passive delegation of responsibilities to other officers will not relieve him of his own responsibility. The fact that in this case, the petitioner did not even protect his own investment is no reason to excuse him from responsibility for withholding trust funds.

DECISION

The petition is denied and the deficiency is affirmed together with such interest, if any, as may be due under the Tax Law.

DATED: Albany, New York

May 1, 1974

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER