POOR QUALITY THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT (S) ARE FADED &BLURRED

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

In the Matter of the Petition

of

ROBERT W. and LOUISE K. FOSTER

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income
Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the
Tax Law for the Year(s) 1970.

State of New York County of Albany

Mr. & Mrs. Robert W. Foster
14223 103rd Way

marko Dunaso

Sun City, Arizona 85351 and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

Sworn to before me this

and day of July 1/ 1974

And so July July



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

> EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

STATE TAX COMMISSION

MARIO A. PROCACCINO, PRESIDENT A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214-A STATE CAMPUS **ALBANY, N.Y. 12227**

AREA CODE 518

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

MR. WRIGHT 457-2655 MR. LEISNER 457-2657 MR. COBURN 457-2896

Dated: Albany, New York July 2, 1974

Mr. & Mrs. Robert W. Foster 14223 103rd Way Sun City, Arizona 85351

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Foster:

Please take notice of the Decision of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(§) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within four months from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

L. ROBERT LEISNER HEARING OFFICER

Enc.

cc:

Petitioner's Representative

Law Bureau

In the Matter of the Petition

of

ROBERT W. and LOUISE K. FOSTER

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1970.

Petitioners, Robert W. and Louise K. Foster, petitioned for a redetermination of deficiencies in personal income taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 1970.

The case was submitted for decision on information contained in the file by the taxpayers and referred to L. Robert Leisner, Hearing Officer. The Income Tax Bureau was represented by Saul Heckelman, Esq., Counsel.

ISSUE

Was a \$5,000.00 pension payment from Chicago to the taxpayers, who resided in Arizona, for services rendered in New York State?

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioners timely filed New York State income tax returns for a portion of the year ended September 1, 1970, when they moved to Arizona. The returns filed, requested a small refund.
- 2. A Notice of Determination of deficiencies in personal income taxes for the year 1970 was issued on June 26, 1972, against the taxpayers under File No. 0-69107606.
- 3. The taxpayers petitioned for redetermination of the deficiencies.

- 4. The taxpayer, Robert W. Foster, received a \$5,000.00 pension payment from his former Chicago employer in April while he resided in Buffalo and paid tax on the same.
- 5. The taxpayer received a \$5,000.00 pension payment from his Chicago employer after he moved to Arizona.
- 6. The taxpayer's \$5,000.00 pension payment in September 1970 in Arizona was not for services rendered in New York State.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. The pension payment from a Chicago employer to an Arizona resident which was not for services rendered in New York State, is not taxable and the taxpayer's refund claim of \$127.25 is granted.
- B. Pursuant to the Tax Law, interest shall be due on the refund until paid.

DATED: Albany, New York
July 2, 1974

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

VULLUM