POOR QUALITY THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT (S) ARE FADED & BLURRED

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

In the Matter of the Petition

of

HOLLIS L. & DOROTHY D. CASWELL

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income: Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the Tax Law for the Year(s) 1967 & 1968:

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 20th day of August , 1974, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Hollis L. & Dorothy D. Caswell (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. & Mrs. Hollis L. Caswell Alley Road LaGrangeville, New York 12540

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

20th day/of August , 1974.

enet Mach

AD-1.30 (1/74)



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX CÖMMISSION HEARING UÑIT.

> EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

STATE TAX COMMISSION

MARIO A. PROCACCINO, PRESIDENT
A. BRUCE MANLEY
MILTON KOERNER

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214-A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

AREA CODE 518

Dated: Albany, New York

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO MR. WRIGHT 457-2655

MR. LEISNER 457-2657 MR. COBURN 457-2896

August 20, 1974

Mr. & Mrs. Hollis L. Caswell Alley Road LaGrangeville, New York 12540

Mr. & Mrs. Caswell:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 Months from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Nigel G. Wright

Enc.

HEARING OFFICER

cc: Petitioner's Representative

Law Bureau

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

HOLLIS CASWELL AND DOROTHY D. CASWELL

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for : Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Years : 1967 and 1968.

Petitioners, Hollis Caswell and Dorothy D. Caswell, have filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal income taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law. (File No. 8-13156726). A formal hearing was held at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 2 World Trade Center, New York, New York, on May 22, 1974, at 2:00 P.M. before Nigel G. Wright, Hearing Officer. The petitioner, Hollis Caswell appeared in person and the Income Tax Bureau was represented by Saul Heckelman, Esq., (Solomon Sies, Esq., of counsel).

ISSUE

Did petitioners, Hollis Caswell and Dorothy D. Caswell reside in New York State in 1967 and 1968?

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On April 12, 1971, the Income Tax Bureau issued a State-ment of Audit Changes against petitioners, Hollis Caswell and Dorothy D. Caswell, imposing New York State personal income tax on all income received by them in the years 1967 and 1968, on

the grounds that they did not change their domicile during said years and, therefore, remained resident individuals of New York State. In accordance with the aforesaid Statement of Audit Changes, the Bureau issued a Notice of Deficiency in the sum of \$4,525.17.

- 2. Petitioner, Hollis Caswell, was an engineer and worked for International Business Machines Corporation from 1957 to 1974, including the years 1967 and 1968. From June 13, 1967, to June 18, 1968, he attended the Massachusetts Institute of Technology on a Sloan Fellowship.
- 3. During the period of the Fellowship, petitioners rented their home in Mt. Kisco, New York, and became lessees at a house in Needham, Massachusetts. Both leases had the same duration of one year beginning June, 1967. Petitioners returned to New York in June, 1968. Petitioners' house in New York was leased two-thirds furnished with petitioners' furniture.
- 4. Petitioner, Hollis Caswell, maintained a bank account in New York during the period in question. His car was registered in New York during said period. He did not register to vote in Massachusetts.
- 5. When petitioners moved to Massachusetts, they intended to move again after one year to New York, Virginia or Vermont.
- 6. Petitioners spent more than 30 days in New York State in 1967 and 1968.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That petitioners, Hollis Caswell and Dorothy D. Caswell, did not abandon their domicile in New York State during the period from June, 1967 to June, 1968.
- B. That petitioner, Hollis Caswell, by his own admission, did not expect to remain in Massachusetts and therefore did not acquire a new domicile there. This being so, he must be deemed to have retained his domicile in New York State.
- C. That, since petitioners were domiciled in and spent more than 30 days in New York State during 1967 and 1968, they were resident individuals of New York in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 605(a)(1) of the Tax Law.
- D. That the petition of Hollis Caswell and Dorothy D. Caswell is denied and the Notice of Deficiency issued on April 12, 1971, is sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York

August 20, 1974

STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESIDENT

COMMISSIONE R

COMMISSIONER