POOR **QUALITY** THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT (S) ARE FADED &BLURRED

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

In the Matter of the Petition

of

WILLIAM D. ZAHRT, II & PATRICIA M.: ZAHRT

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1969 AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro, being duly sworn, deposes and says that
she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 9th day of August, 1973, she served the within
Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon William D., II &
Patricia M. Zahrt (representative of) the petitioner in the within
proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid
wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. & Mrs. William D. Zahrt, II
179 Goldengate Drive
Centerville, Ohio 45459

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

9th day of August , 1973.

Josepha Durano



STATELOAN COMMISSION CINO,

NORMAN F. GALLMAN. PRESIDENT

STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12226

AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7 STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

EDWARD ROOK
SECRETARY TO
COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

A. BRUCE MANLEY
MILTON KOERNER

Dated: Albany, New York

August 9, 1973

Mr. & Mrs. William D. Zahrt, II 179 Goldengate Drive Centerville, Chio 45459

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Zahrt:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 Months from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

1/1/ U

HEARING OFFICER

Enc.

cc: Petitioner's Representative
Law Bureau

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

WILLIAM D. ZAHRT, II and PATRICIA M. ZAHRT

DECISION

for Redetermination of Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1969.

Petitioners, William D. Zahrt, II and Patricia M. Zahrt, have filed a petition for redetermination of deficiency or for refund of personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 1969. (File No. 9-49110748). On February 5, 1973, petitioners, in writing, waived a formal hearing and consented to the issuance of a decision without the introduction of additional evidence on their part. The State Tax Commission renders the following decision after due consideration of the entire record contained in the file.

ISSUE

Did the Income Tax Bureau properly prorate petitioners,
William D., II, and Patricia M. Zahrt's exemptions and statutory
credit?

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioners, William D., II and Patricia M. Zahrt, filed a New York State income tax resident return for the period June 1, 1969 to December 31, 1969. On said return, they did not prorate their exemptions and statutory credits. They filed no other returns but made mention of the fact on the aforesaid return that they had no New York State income during their nonresidency period. The sum of \$248.53 in New York State income tax had been withheld from petitioners, William D., II and Patricia M. Zahrt, by their employers for said period. Petitioners alleged that the tax due was \$80.81 and there was an overpayment of \$167.72.

- 2. On July 20, 1970, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Statement of Audit Changes prorating petitioners, William D., II and Patricia M. Zahrt's exemptions and statutory credit. The proration was based on 6/12 of a year.
- 3. On September 24, 1970, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Statement of Refund Adjustment to petitioners, William D., II and Patricia M. Zahrt, granting a refund of \$6.42 for the year 1969. The refund adjustment was based upon a 7/12 proration of their exemptions and statutory credit for said year.
- 4. On July 26, 1971, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Notice of Disallowance to petitioners, William D., II and Patricia M. Zahrt, disallowing their claim for refund for the year 1969 in excess of \$6.42.
- 5. Petitioner, William D. Zahrt, II, was a resident and domiciliary of Ohio until May 31, 1969. Petitioner, Patricia M. Zahrt, was a resident and domiciliary of Indiana until the aforesaid date. They became residents and domiciliaries of New York State on June 1, 1969.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That petitioners, William D., II and Patricia M. Zahrt, were required to file two income tax returns for their nonresident and resident periods. If no income was derived in New York State during the nonresident period, a statement to that effect in lieu of a return may be made pursuant to section 148.1(b) of the Income Tax Regulations.
- B. That the statement attached to the return for the resident period declaring that no New York State income was derived during the nonresident period is considered a return in determining the proper proration figure for exemptions and statutory credit.

- C. That petitioners, William D., II and Patricia M. Zahrt, are required to prorate their exemptions and statutory credit pursuant to section 654(e) of the Tax Law.
- D. That the petition of William D., II and Patricia M. Zahrt is denied and the Notice of Disallowance issued July 26, 1971, is sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York
August 9, 1973

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER