In the Matter of the Petition

of

ELMER RICHARDSON

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income
Taxes under Article(s)16 & 22 of the
Tax Law for the (Year(s)1959 & 1960

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro , being duly sworn, deposes and says that
she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 27th day of September , 1973, she served the within
Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Elmer Richardson
(representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Mr. Elmer Richardson
P. O. Box 1073
Erie, Pennsylvania 16512

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

7th day of September / , 1973.

Jantha O mass



STATE TAX COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12226

> AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

Mario A. Procaccino, A. BRUCE MANLEY

MILTON KOERNER

Dated: Albany, New York

September 27, 1973

Mr. Elmer Richardson P. O. Box 1073 Erie, Pennsylvania

Dear Mr. Richardson:

Please take notice of the DETERMINATION of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) 375 & 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 90 Days from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Migel G. Wright

HEARING OFFICER

Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau

Enc.

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application

of

ELMER RICHARDSON

DETERMINATION

for Revision or Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 16 of the Tax Law for the Years 1959 and of . Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1960.

Elmer Richardson filed applications pursuant to section 374 of the Tax Law for revision of assessments dated September 7, 1960, and December 7, 1961, of personal income taxes due under and 22 Article 16/of the Tax Law for the years 1959 and 1960. The aforementioned applications were denied. A hearing was demanded and duly held at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 65 Court Street, Buffalo, New York, on July 28, 1964, before Martin Schapiro, Hearing Officer. The applicant, Elmer Richardson, appeared pro se.

The record of said hearing has been duly examined and considered.

ISSUE

The issue is whether the applicant, Elmer Richardson, was a resident of New York during the years 1959 and 1960 so that a proper assessment of applicant's income as a resident can be made for the years in question.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Applicant, Elmer Richardson, had resided in his house

in Medford, Long Island, with his wife until 1954. Applicant and his wife separated in 1954 and she and their child moved to Dallas, Texas. A divorce was obtained soon after between applicant and wife. From 1955 until the date of his hearing, 1964, the house was still owned by applicant and his former first wife. The house has been rented by applicant since 1955 but he never returned to live in it.

- 2. The Income Tax Bureau issued a Notice of Additional Assessment dated September 7, 1960, #B809135, for the year 1959 which amounted to \$36.40. This reflected an audit of applicant's 1959 tax return in which it was determined that applicant was still a resident of New York and should be taxed accordingly. For the same reason the Income Tax Bureau issued a Notice of Additional Assessment #B991922 for the year 1960 which was dated December 7, 1961, and amounted to \$153.75.
- 3. Applicant, Elmer Richardson, had been employed by the New York City Transit Authority since 1932. After applicant's marital separation in 1954 he resided in a furnished apartment in New York City where he continued working for the Transit Authority. During 1955 applicant began to receive out-of-state assignments as an inspector of new car equipment being manufactured by General Electric for his employer. His assignment took him to four locations: Berwick, Pennsylvania; St. Louis, Missouri; Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; and Erie, Pennsylvania. On December 22, 1958, applicant's employer returned him to New York City because

an equipment contract on which applicant was inspecting had terminated. The Transit Authority kept applicant in New York until February 28, 1959, and sent him to Pennsylvania again as an inspector. Applicant claims he has spent most of his time in Pennsylvania from 1959 up to the time of his hearing in 1964. He voted in Pennsylvania in 1959 and in St. Louis, Missouri, in 1960. Applicant has been staying in apartments in Pennsylvania during the period 1959 to 1964. He has not returned to New York for any appreciable periods since 1959.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Applicant, Elmer Richardson, was domiciled in New York

State for the years 1959 and 1960 and did not maintain a permanent place of abode without the state.

DECISION

The application is denied and the assessments #B809135 and #B991922 are due together with such interest and additional charges, if any, as may be due pursuant to sections 376/and 685 of the Tax Law.

DATED: Albany, New York September 27, 1973 STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

AD \$2 (11.72) 180M

Department of Location and Finance STATE OF NEW YORK

ALP : NY, N. Y. 12227 STATE CAMPUS

No such street number Institution in Audicise Addressee unknown.

No such office in state Bond Richardson at remarks which there are remarks which there is the state of the st P. O. Box 1073

Erie, Pénnsylvania NoT 150 X

E₁₈₁₈



STATE TAX COMMISSION

Mario A. Procaccino,

STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE CAMPUS
ALBANY, N. Y. 12226

AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7 STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

EDWARD ROOK
SECRETARY TO
COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

A. BRUCE MANLEY
MILTON KOERNER

Dated: Albany, New York

September 27, 1973

Mr. Elmer Richardson P. O. Box 1073 Erie, Pennsylvania

Dear Mr. Richardson:

Please take notice of the DETERMINATION of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s)375 & 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 90 Days from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Nigel G. Wright

HEARING OFFICER

cc: Petitioner's Representative

Law Bureau

Enc.

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application

of

ELMER RICHARDSON

DETERMINATION

for Revision or Refund of Personal : Income Tax under Article 16 of the Tax Law for the Years 1959 and of : Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1960.

elmer Richardson filed applications pursuant to section 374 of the Tax Law for revision of assessments dated September 7, 1960, and December 7, 1961, of personal income taxes due under and 22 and 1960 and 1960. The aforementioned applications were denied. A hearing was demanded and duly held at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 65 Court Street, Buffalo, New York, on July 28, 1964, before Martin Schapiro, Hearing Officer. The applicant, Elmer Richardson, appeared pro se.

The record of said hearing has been duly examined and considered.

ISSUE

The issue is whether the applicant, Elmer Richardson, was a resident of New York during the years 1959 and 1960 so that a proper assessment of applicant's income as a resident can be made for the years in question.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Applicant, Elmer Richardson, had resided in his house

in Medford, Long Island, with his wife until 1954. Applicant and his wife separated in 1954 and she and their child moved to Dallas, Texas. A divorce was obtained soon after between applicant and wife. From 1955 until the date of his hearing, 1964, the house was still owned by applicant and his former first wife. The house has been rented by applicant since 1955 but he never returned to live in it.

- 2. The Income Tax Bureau issued a Notice of Additional Assessment dated September 7, 1960, #B809135, for the year 1959 which amounted to \$36.40. This reflected an audit of applicant's 1959 tax return in which it was determined that applicant was still a resident of New York and should be taxed accordingly. For the same reason the Income Tax Bureau issued a Notice of Additional Assessment #B991922 for the year 1960 which was dated December 7, 1961, and amounted to \$153.75.
- 3. Applicant, Elmer Richardson, had been employed by the New York City Transit Authority since 1932. After applicant's marital separation in 1954 he resided in a furnished apartment in New York City where he continued working for the Transit Authority. During 1955 applicant began to receive out-of-state assignments as an inspector of new car equipment being manufactured by General Electric for his employer. His assignment took him to four locations: Berwick, Pennsylvania; St. Louis, Missouri; Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; and Erie, Pennsylvania. On December 22, 1958, applicant's employer returned him to New York City because

an equipment contract on which applicant was inspecting had terminated. The Transit Authority kept applicant in New York until February 28, 1959, and sent him to Pennsylvania again as an inspector. Applicant claims he has spent most of his time in Pennsylvania from 1959 up to the time of his hearing in 1964. He voted in Pennsylvania in 1959 and in St. Louis, Missouri, in 1960. Applicant has been staying in apartments in Pennsylvania during the period 1959 to 1964. He has not returned to New York for any appreciable periods since 1959.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Applicant, Elmer Richardson, was domiciled in New York

State for the years 1959 and 1960 and did not maintain a permanent place of abode without the state.

DECISION

The application is denied and the assessments #B809135 and #B991922 are due together with such interest and additional charges, 377 if any, as may be due pursuant to sections 376/and 685 of the Tax Law.

DATED: Albany, New York
September 27, 1973

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER