POOR **QUALITY** THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT (S) ARE FADED &BLURRED

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

In the Matter of the Petition

of

HANS K. FRYMANN & LOUISE FRYMANN

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income : Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1967, 1968 &: 1969

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro, being duly sworn, deposes and says that
she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 15thday of February, 1973, she served the within
Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Hans K. & Louise
Frymann (representative of) the petitioner in the within
proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid
wrapper addressed as follows: Hans K. & Louise Frymann
Winter Street
South Norwalk, Connecticut 06854

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

ynn Wilson

15th day of February , 1973.

Junioha Tunaro

In the Matter of the Petition

of

HANS K. FRYMANN & LOUISE FRYMANN:

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income:
Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the
Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1967, 1968 &:

1969

: BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL
ermination of a Deficiency or
Personal Income :

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 15thday of February, 1973, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Paul P. Plumb (representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

wrapper addressed as follows:

Paul P. Plumb 163 West Rocks Road Norwalk, Connecticut

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

15th day of February . 1973

Inatha Yunaro

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

OF NOTICE OF DECISION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

HANS K. FRYMANN & LOUISE FRYMANN

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income : Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1967, 1968 &: 1969

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro, being duly sworn, deposes and says that
she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 15thday of February, 1973, she served the within
Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Richard S.

Pastore, Esq. (representative of) the petitioner in the within
proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid
wrapper addressed as follows: Richard S. Pastore, Esq.

15 Sherwood Place
Greenwich, Connecticut

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custedy of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

15th day of February, 1973

ynn Wilson

Tratha Dunais



STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS

ALBANY, N. Y. 12227

AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7 STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

> EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

STATE TAX COMMISSION

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, PRESIDENT A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER

Albany, New York

February 15, 1973

Mans K. & Louise Prymana Winter Street South Morvalk, Connecticut 06654

Donz Mr. & Mrs. Trymann:

Please take notice of the the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

of

Please take further notice that pursuant to section 690 of the Tax Law any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 section after the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very yours

HEARING OFFICER

cc Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petitions

٥f

HANS K. FRYMANN and LOUISE FRYMANN

DECISION

for Redetermination of Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Years 1967, 1968 and 1969.

Petitioners, Hans K. Frymann and Louise Frymann, have filed petitions for redetermination of deficiency or for refund of personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the years 1967, 1968 and 1969. (File Nos. 8-29180165 and 9-33238920). A formal hearing was held before Paul B. Coburn, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York, New York on November 13, 1972, at 3:00 P.M. Petitioners appeared by Richard S. Pastore, Esq. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Saul Heckelman, Esq. (Francis X. Boylan, Esq., of Counsel).

ISSUE

Were Saturdays worked at home in Connecticut by petitioner, Hans K. Frymann, during the years 1967, 1968 and 1969 a proper basis for allocation of salary income?

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioners, Hans K. Frymann and Louise Frymann, filed
 New York State nonresident income tax returns for the years 1967,
 1968 and 1969. On said returns, they included in total days
 worked outside of New York State, Saturdays worked at home by
 petitioner, Hans K. Frymann, during said years.
- 2. On November 30, 1970, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Statement of Audit Changes against petitioners, Hans K. Frymann and Louise Frymann, for the year 1968 disallowing Saturdays

worked at home by petitioner, Hans K. Frymann, as a proper basis for allocation of income from salary, and accordingly issued a Notice of Deficiency in the sum of \$395.41. On April 14, 1971, it issued a similar Statement of Audit Changes against them for the year 1967 and accordingly issued a Notice of Deficiency in the sum of \$662.35. On May 22, 1972, it issued a similar Statement of Audit Changes, against them for the year 1969 and accordingly issued a Notice of Deficiency in the sum of \$166.11.

- 3. Petitioners, Hans K. Frymann and Louise Frymann, were residents of the State of Connecticut during the years 1967, 1968 and 1969. They resided in a home located at Winter Street, South Norwalk, Connecticut.
- 4. Petitioner, Hans K. Frymann, was employed by Lone Star Cement Corporation during the years 1967, 1968 and 1969. He was vice president in charge of corporate engineering, purchasing and project management, and, after May 1, 1968, manufacturing.
- 5. Lone Star Cement Corporation was engaged in the cement business and allied fields during the years 1967, 1968 and 1969. It was originally incorporated in the State of Maine. It subsequently changed its state of incorporation to Delaware. Its corporate headquarters were located at 100 Park Avenue in New York City until October 6, 1969, when said offices were moved to Greenwich, Connecticut. Approximately 7 1/2% of its production facilities were located in New York State until it closed a cement plant in 1967. After that date approximately 3 1/2% of said facilities were located in New York State.
- 6. Petitioner, Hans K. Frymann, worked in the New York office of Lone Star Cement Corporation during the week in the period from January 1, 1967 through October 6, 1969. He had a private office and a secretary. The New York office was open Monday through Friday. The switchboard was closed and there was no heat or air conditioning on weekends. The corporation's production facilities

generally operated on a seven-day-a-week basis.

7. Petitioner, Hans K. Frymann, maintained one room in his home in Connecticut as an office during the years 1967, 1968 and 1969. The work he did there on weekends consisted of reviewing proposals and resolving problems that he had been unable to review or resolve during his normal work week at the New York office. He also, on occasion, received phone calls from company plants that were having technical production problems during the weekend.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That the Saturdays worked at home in Connecticut during the years 1967, 1968 and 1969 by petitioner, Hans K. Frymann, were worked there by reason of his necessity and convenience and not for the necessity of his employer and therefore, for purposes of allocation of salary income, said Saturdays cannot be included by him in computing total days worked in a year or total days worked outside of New York State in a year in accordance with the meaning and intent of section 632(c) of the Tax Law and NYCRR 131.16.
- B. That the petitions of Hans K. Frymann and Louise Frymann are denied and the notices of deficiency issued November 30, 1970, April 14, 1971, and May 22, 1972, are sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York February 15, 1973 STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER'

COMMISSIONER