In the Matter of the Petition

of

ANTHONY & MARY COCO

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income
Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the
Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1967

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro , being duly sworn, deposes and says that
she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 23rd day of February , 19 73, she served the within
Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Anthony & Mary
Coco (representative of) the petitioner in the within
proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid
wrapper addressed as follows: Anthony & Mary Coco
2048 Lyell Aventte
Rochester, New York 14606

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custedy of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

23rd day of February , 1973.

marka Turaro

In the Matter of the Petition

of

ANTHONY & MARY COCO

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income
Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the
Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1967

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 23rdday of February , 1973, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Sylvester J. Zicari & Co. (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Sylvester J. Zicari & Co. 135 State Street Rochester, New York 14614

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custedy of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

23rd day of February , 1973

Justha Dunard



STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION STATE CAMPUS

ALBANY, N. Y. 12227

AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7 STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

EDWARD ROOK
SECRETARY TO
COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, PRESIDENT

A. BRUCE MANLEY
MILTON KOERNER

Dated: Albany, New York

Pebruary 23, 1973

Anthony & Mary Coco 2048 Lyell Avenue Rochester, New York

14600

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Coco:

Please take notice of the

DECISION

of

the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to section 690 of the Tax Law any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 Months after the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

L. Robert Leismer

HEARING OFFICER

cc Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

ANTHONY and MARY COCO

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or : for Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for : the Year 1967.

Petitioners, Anthony and Mary Coco, petitioned for a redetermination of deficiencies in personal income taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 1967. (File No. 7-72015824).

(The case was submitted for decision on information contained in the file.)

The Income Tax Bureau was represented by Saul Heckelman, Esq., Counsel.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the taxpayers show error in the amount of food determined to have been withdrawn from their grocery business for seven persons in the year 1967?

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioners, Anthony and Mary Coco, timely filed New York
 State income tax returns for the year 1967.
- 2. A Notice of Determination of deficiencies in personal income taxes for the year 1967 was issued on March 16, 1970 against the taxpayers under File No. 7-72015824.
 - 3. The taxpayers petitioned for redetermination of the deficiencies.
- 4. The taxpayers operated a grocery store in 1967. The taxpayers estimated \$750.00 of food withdrawn from the cost of goods

sold for seven persons. A field audit was conducted and the Income Tax Bureau determined that the food withdrawn from the grocery store reduced the cost of goods sold in the amount of \$2,800.00.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. The burden of proof is on the taxpayers to show by records or other evidence that the determination of the Income Tax Bureau is erroneous. Taxpayers are required to keep proper records of items of income and expense. The taxpayers failed to produce records or other evidence of the amount of food withdrawn from their grocery.
- B. The taxpayers' petition is denied and the determination of the deficiency is sustained.
- C. Pursuant to the Tax Law, interest shall be added to the total amount due until paid.

DATED: Albany, New York February 23, 1973

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER Kremer

COMMISSIONER