# **POOR QUALITY** THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT (S) ARE FADED &BLURRED

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

In the Matter of the Petition

of

GEORGE J. HELMUTH

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1966 and 1967

State of New York County of Albany

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that Lynn Wilson she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of , 19 72, she served the within age, and that on the 6th day of April GEORGE J. Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon (representative of) the petitioner in the within HELMUTH proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid Mr. George J. Helmuth wrapper addressed as follows: 504-1/3 South 8th Street Quincy, Illinois 62301

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

6th day of April , 1972. Lynn Wilson



## STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT .

EDWARD ROOK
SECRETARY TO
COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

#### STATE TAX COMMISSION

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, PRESIDENT
A. BRUCE MANLEY
MILTON KOERNER

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A

STATE CAMPUS

ALBANY, N. Y. 12227

AREA CODE 518

457-2655, 6, 7

DATED:

Albany, New York

April 6, 1972

Mr. George J. Helmuth 504-1/3 South 6th Street Quincy, Illinois 62301

Dear Mr. Helmith:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

οf

Please take further notice that pursuant to section(s)

690 of the Tax Law any proceeding
in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced
within 4 months after the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

L. Robert Leisner

Hearing Officer

cc Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau

STATE OF NEW YORK
STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

GEORGE J. HELMUTH

**DECISION** 

for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Taxes: under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Years 1966 and 1967.

George J. Helmuth petitioned for a redetermination of personal income taxes for the years 1966 and 1967 under Article 22 of the Tax Law. The taxpayer submitted the case on evidence previously presented.

#### **ISSUES**

- I. Were payments to Marie E. Helmuth in 1966 in the amount of \$1,720.00 deductible as alimony?
- II. Was the claimed amount of \$1,181.87 auto expenses deductible for 1967, in addition to reimbursed auto expenses allowed of \$980.00?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. A Notice of Deficiency for income taxes was issued on January 26, 1970, under file no. 84339154 and the taxpayer timely petitioned for a formal hearing.
- 2. The Notice of Deficiency disallowed (1) payments by petitioner to Marie E. Helmuth, the amount of \$1,720.00 which he had deducted in alimony in 1966, and (2) the claimed amount of \$1,181.87 auto expenses for 1967, in addition to reimbursed auto expenses of \$980.00.
- 3. The petitioner's separation agreement with Marie E. Helmuth was entered into on May 31, 1966. Petitioner claimed at least payments of \$1,720.00 before May 31, 1966, and \$3,010.00 of payments after May 31, 1966, the date of the separation agreement. It is agreed that cancelled checks substantiated such

amounts as paid.

4. The petitioner submitted a small notebook with some notations of amounts paid amounting to less than \$250.00 and the names of some companies visited, but with no mileage. The petitioner was allowed \$980.00 of reimbursed car expense. The reimbursed car expense equaled or exceeded the business mileage established by the notebook records of the petitioner. Petitioner made estimates of mileage, but they are not controlling.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. The payments of \$1,720.00 made to Marie E. Helmuth prior to the separation agreement of May 31, 1966, are not deductible as alimony.
- B. The claimed amount of \$1,181.87 auto expense, in addition to allowed reimbursed auto expense of \$980.00 was not deductible.
- C. The deficiencies in income taxes for 1966 and 1967 are sustained.
- D. Pursuant to the Tax Law, interest shall be added to the total amount due until paid.

DATED: Albany, New York

April 6,1972.

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERÍ

Bruce Manley

COMMISSIONER