In the Matter of the Petition

of

COOKE BAUSMAN, JR.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income : Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1968

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 5th day of April , 19 72, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Cooke Bausman, Jr.

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Cooke Bausman, Jr.

125 Lake Howell Arms
Casselberry, Florida

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

5th day of April , 19 72

Martha Fuxais



STATE TAX COMMISSION

## STATE OF NEW YORK

# DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12226

> AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

> EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, ACTING PRESIDENT

A. BRUCE MANLEY
MILTON KOERNER

Albany, New York

April 5, 1972

Cooke Bausman, Jr. 125 Lake Howell Arms Casselberry, Florida

Dear Sir:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

of

Please take further notice that pursuant to **section 690** the Tax Law any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within **4 Months** after the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

L. Robert Leisner

HEARING OFFICER

cc Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau

### STATE OF NEW YORK

#### STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

COOKE BAUSMAN, JR.

DECISION

for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1968.

\_\_

The petitioner, Cooke Bausman, Jr., filed a petition for redetermination of personal income tax for the year 1968. The case was submitted for decision on the information in the file.

#### **ISSUE**

Was the Income Tax Bureau's allocation of income of a nonresident with salary from New York sources for part of a year, legally authorized?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioner timely filed a New York State nonresident income tax return for the year 1968.
- 2. A Notice of Determination of Deficiency in income tax was issued under File No. 8-13011179 against the taxpayer. He timely filed a petition for redetermination of the deficiency.
- 3. The taxpayer was a resident of Connecticut who received a salary income of \$36,742.70 from New York State sources from January 1, 1968 to June 30, 1968. The balance of taxpayer's income, \$19,532.36 from July 1, 1968 to December, 1968, was earned from Connecticut sources.

The taxpayer worked 102 days in the first half of the year,

42 days of which were worked in New York State. The taxpayer worked 128 days all outside of New York State in the last half of the year.

- 4. The Income Tax Bureau determined that the salary income of \$36,742.70 from New York sources from January 1, 1968 to June 30, 1968, was to be allocated by days worked in and out of the State for that six month period; or
  - 42 days X \$36,742.70 or \$15,129.35 102 days
- 5. The taxpayer contended that the income of \$36,742.70 for the period January 1, 1968 to June 30, 1968, was to be allocated by days worked in and out of the State for the twelve months of 1968; or

42 days X \$36,742.70 or approximately \$6,787.00 230 days

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. The allocation proposed by the taxpayer would unfairly distort the amount of income actually earned in New York from New York sources.
- B. The allocation determined by the Income Tax Bureau is fair and equitable and is legally authorized. 20 NYCRR 131.21. Matter of Jamison, State Tax Commission, Dec., Mar. 9, 1970, CCH Par 99-245.
- C. The petition is denied and the determination of the deficiency in income tax is sustained.
- D. Pursuant to the Tax Law, interest shall be added to the total amount due until paid.

DATED: Albany, New York

April 5, 1972

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER