STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

Sayero, livilian Elisanol Insome Actualis 6

In the Matter of the Petition

of

Lillian Sayers

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Persoanl Income:
Taxes under Article(s) 16 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1956:

State of New York County of Albany

Claire A. Draves , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 13th day of January , 1971, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Irwin L.

Feinberg, CPA (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Irwin L. Feinberg, CPA 52 Broadway

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

New York, New York 10004

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

13th day of January . 1971.

inda Wilson

Clary a. Craves

In the Matter of the Petition

of

Lillian Sayers

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income
Taxes under Article(s) 16 of the
Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1956

State of New York County of Albany

claire A. Draves , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 13th day of January , 1971, she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Lillian Sayers (representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Lillian Sayers
21 East 66th Street
New York, New York 10021

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

13th day of January , 1971.

unda Wilson

Clace a Deaves

STATE OF NEW YORK
STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application

of

LILLIAN SAYERS

DETERMINATION

for Revision or Refund of Personal Income: Taxes under Article 16 of the Tax Law for the year 1956:

Applicant, Lillian Sayers, has filed an application for revision or refund of personal income taxes under Article 16 of the Tax Law for the year 1956. (File No. B745987) A formal hearing was held before Solomon Sies, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York, New York, on November 20, 1967, at 10:00 A.M. Feinberg & Kadison by Irwin L. Feinberg, C.P.A. appeared for the applicant.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Applicant, Lillian Sayers, filed a New York State Income
 Tax Resident Return for the year 1956.
- 2. On February 25, 1960, the Income Tax Bureau, issued a notice of additional assessment against applicant, Lillian Sayers, imposing additional income tax upon the income received by her for the year 1956 upon the grounds that business expenses of \$4,985.55 were unsubstantiated and that income of \$20,000.00 from the sale of patents was normal income, subject to normal tax rates and not capital gains tax rates, and accordingly assessed additional income tax against her in the sum of \$1,113.99.
- 3. Applicant, Lillian Sayers, conceded that the business expenses of \$4,985.55 were unsubstantiated and should be disallowed.

- 4. Applicant, Lillian Sayers was a designer and inventor of new and better brassieres. In 1947 the United States of America granted to her letters patent for improvements in brassieres which became known by the trade names "Disguise" and "Hi-Lo Witchery".
- 5. In 1949 applicant, Lillian Sayers, entered into a written agreement with Exquisite Form Brassiere, Inc., wherein she sold to it the exclusive right to manufacture and sell throughout the United States brassieres containing the invention or improvements claimed in the aforesaid letters patent for the term of said patents. She further agreed to assign the patents to the corporation. In consideration for the sale, she was to receive as royalties each year during the lives of the patents a percentage of net sales. In the event the corporation became insolvent, or paid royalties of less than \$1,000.00 per annum, or was 60 days in arrears in payment of royalties, or discontinued the manufacture or sale of brassieres then the patents were to revert to the applicant. The corporation had the right to assign the agreement to a successor in interest to its entire business.
- 6. In addition to royalty payments applicant, Lillian Sayers, received a salary from the corporation for her services in designing, supervising the production of and promoting brassieres. This agreement was oral.
- 7. During the year 1956, applicant, Lillian Sayers, received from the corporation a salary of \$10,400.00 and royalties of \$20,000.00.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That the transfer by applicant, Lillian Sayers to

Exquisite Form Brassiere, Inc. of all of her substantial rights
in her patents for improvements in brassieres constituted the sale
of a capital asset held for more than 6 months. Internal Revenue

Code Section 1235; that it constituted the sale of a capital asset even though the royalty payments were payable periodically over a period generally coterminus with the corporation's use of the patent and contingent on the amount of sales. Internal Revenue Code Reg. 1.1235-1(a); that retention by her of the right to a reassignment of the patents upon the happening of contain conditions subsequent did not constitute the retention of substantial rights. Internal Revenue Code Reg. 1.1235-2(b) (2).

- B. That the royalty payments received by applicant, Lillian Sayers, were attributable to the transfer of all substantial rights to the aforesaid patents and were not compensation for services rendered to her employer as a designer. Rose Marie Reid 26 TC No. 78 (1956).
- C. That business expenses of \$4,985.55 were properly disallowed.
- D. That the application of Lillian Sayers is granted to the extent of reducing the "taxable balance adjusted" from \$29,985.00 to \$9,985.00 and of reducing the additional tax due from \$1,113.99 to \$288.95 and the notice of additional assessment issued February 15, 1960, is reduced to \$288.95 together with such interest thereon as may be lawfully due and except as so granted the application is in all other respects denied.

Dated: Albany, New York

Jamuary 11,1971

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER