AD 32 (9-68) 50M

STATE OF NEW YORK

Department of Taxation and Finance ALBANY, N. Y. 12226 STATE CAMPUS

Not in Directory

Baltimore Wig Distributors, Inc.

☐ Moved, left no addre. ☐ No such number ☐ Noved, not forwardable

New York, New York

T/A Wig World 1592 Broddway

CERTIFIED No 237749

MAIL



STATE TAX COMMISSION

A. BRUCE MANLEY

MILTON KOERNER

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, ACTING PRESIDENT

STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12226

> AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

> EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

Albany, New York

December 29, 1970

Baltimore Wig Distributors, Inc.

T/A Wig World

1592 Broadway

New York New York
Please take notice of the DECISION of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section 690 the Tax Law any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months after the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Paul B. Coburn HEARING OFFICER

cc Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau STATE OF NEW YORK
STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

BALTIMORE WIG DISTRIBUTORS, INC. TYA WIG WORLD

DECISION

for Redetermination of Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law (Withholding Taxes) for the 6 month periods ending 6/30/65, 12/31/65 and 6/30/66

Petitioner, Baltimore Wig Distributors. Inc., T/A Wig World. has filed a petition for redetermination of deficiency or for refund of personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law (Withholding Taxes) for the 6 month periods ending 6/30/65, 12/31/65 and 6/30/66. (File No.AF-900023) A formal hearing was held before Solomon Sies, Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York, New York on June 7, 1967, at 10:15 A.M. Petitioner did not appear at the hearing.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Between January 1, 1965, and June 30, 1966, petitioner
 Baltimore Wig Distributors, Inc., T/A Wig World was engaged in
 the sale of wigs in New York City. During this period it failed
 to pay over to New York State withholding tax due on salaries paid to
 employees. The total tax due for the period ending June 30, 1965,
 was \$86.10, for the period ending December 31, 1965, was \$208.50
 and for the period ending June 30, 1966, was \$139.92.
- 2. On October 26, 1966, the Special Investigations Bureau issued against petitioner, Baltimore Wig Distributors, Inc., T/A Wig World a notice and demand for payment of personal taxes under jeopardy assessment imposing withholding taxes, penalties and interest, as follows:

	6 Mo. Endin	g 6 Mo. Endia 12/31/65	ng 6 Mo. Ending 6/30/66
Total Tax	\$ 86.16	\$ 208.50	\$ 139.92
Penalty at 100 % ¶ 685g	86.10	208.50	139.92
Interest at 6% to 10/26/66	6.39	9.23	2.00
Additional Penalty ¶ 6851	1,000.00 \$1,178.59	1,000.00 \$1,426.23	1,000.00 \$1,281.84

On November 14, 1966, in accordance with the jeopardy assessment a notice of deficiency in the sum of \$3,886.66 was issued against petitioner, Baltimore Wig Distributors, Inc., T/A Wig World.

- 3. Petitioner, Baltimore Wig Distributors, Inc., T/A Wig World willfully failed to collect, account for and pay over the aforesaid withholding taxes.
- 4. The Special Investigations Bureau failed to prove that petitioner, Baltimore Wig Distributors, Inc., T/A Wig World with fraudulent intent failed to collect account for and pay over the aforesaid withholding taxes.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That petitioner, Baltimore Wig Distributors, Inc.,
 Wig World was required to deduct and withhold tax from wages paid
 to employees and was liable for such tax for the period from January 1,
 1965, to June 30, 1966, in accordance with the meaning and intent of
 Section 674 of the Tax Law.
- B. That since petitioner, Baltimore Wig Distributors, Inc., T/A Wig World willfully failed to collect, account for and pay over withholding taxes for the period from January 1, 1965, to Hune 30, 1966, a penalty equal to 100% of the total tax due was properly assessed in accordance with the meaning and intent of Section 685(g) of the Tax Law.
- C. That since petitioner, Baltimore Wig Distributors, Inc., T/A Wig World, did not with fraudulent intent fail to collect, account for and pay over withholding taxes for the period from January 1, 1965,

to Jule 30, 1966, an additional penalty of \$1,000.00 for each 6 mouth period was improperly assessed in accordance with the deaning and intent of Section 685(i) of the Tax Law.

D. That the petition of Baltimore Wig Distributors, Inc., T/A Wig World is granted to the extent of cancelling the additional penalties in the total sum of \$3,000.00 assessed pursuant to Section 685(i) of the Tax Law and except as so granted is in all other respects denied and the notice of deficiency dated November 14, 1966, is reduced to \$886.66 together with such interest as may be due from that date.

DATED: Albany, New York

December 23/1910

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISS TONER

CONTEGTONE

IN THE MATER OF THE PURITION

JOSEPH V. AND MAKER B. HEND, JR.

POR REPRESENTATION OF A REPLETANCE OR POR REPUBLIC OF PERSONAL INCOME SATES WHERE APPLICATE 22 OF THE TAX LAW FOR THE TRANS 1960, 1961 and 1962

The above-named taxpayers having filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal income taxes under Article 22 of the Tex Law for the years 1960, 1962 and 1962, and a hearing having been held in connection therewith at the effice of the State Tex Countasion at 50 Centur States, New York, N. Y. on the 16th day of June, 1966 before Solomon Sies, Hearing Officer of the Department of Texation and Pinsons, at which hearing the taxpayers were represented by Winthrep, Stebbins, Petran & Roberts, Hogs., by Reland Stebbins, III, Eq., of Counsel, and the matter having been duly considered,

gard.

The State Tax Commission hereby finds:

(1) That on May 12, 1964 the tampaper Joseph V.

Reed, Jr. filed a New York State Income Tax Resident Return
for the year 1959; that on the same date the taxpayers, Joseph
V. and Marie B. Reed, Jr., similarly filed New York State
Income Tax Resident Returns for the years 1960, 1961 and 1968;
that the taxpayers remitted payment of the taxes for the aforementioned years, computed to be due together with interest
computed up to May 8, 1964 in the amounts of \$2,090.78

\$7,311.30, \$6,896.85 and \$6,369.28 for the respective years 1959 through 1962.

- (2) That on Movember 4, 1964 taxpayers filed claims for refund on Form IT-113x for the years 1959, 1960, 1961 and 1962 on the ground that it had been determined on May 15, 1964 in a proceeding instituted in Supreme Court, New York County that the taxpayer Joseph V. Reed, Jr. had not been a resident of the State of New York for the five-year period preceding January 1, 1965 in accordance with the provisions of Article III, \$7 of the New York State Constitution; that the aforementioned proceeding was brought to invalidate the nominating petitions filed with the Board of Elections by the texpayor Joseph V. Reed, Jr. to qualify as a Republican Party candidate in the Primary Election for member of the Assembly of the State of New York, Mighth Assembly District, New York County; that the taxpayers contend they were not residents of the State of New York during the years 1959 through and including 1961, and that the determination of the Supreme Court in the proceeding heretofore referred is binding on the New York State Tax Commission; that the claims for refund were denied and taxpayers filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal income taxes paid for the years 1960, 1961 and 1962.
- Joseph V. Reed, Jr. was a domiciliary of the State of Commerciacut residing with his parents in Greenwich, Connecticut and attending Yale University; that prior to January 1, 1959 the aforementioned taxpayer withdrew from Yale University due to ill health; that during the period from January 1, 1959 to the end of May, 1959 he was in New Mexico and Florida convalencing upon the advice of his physicians; that the aforementioned taxpayer leased an apartment located at 7 Mast 63rd Street,

New York City for the period commencing on the 16th day of June, 1959 and terminating on the 30th day of September, 1959; that the leasing of the afforementioned spartment was for the purpose of recuperating from the operation performed in June, 1959 at Doctor's Hospital, New York City.

- (4) That the taxpayer Joseph V. Reed, Jr. returned to Yale University in September, 1959 to complete his senior year; that he rented a house in Guilford, Connecticut for the period from September, 1959 to June, 1960; that en December 9, 1959 the taxpayer, Joseph V. Reed, Jr. married the former Marie Byers, then residing at 988 Fifth Avenue, New York City, at the Municipal Building, New York City; that after their honeymoon the taxpayers in January, 1960 were domiciled in Guilford, Connecticut.
- (5) That in February, 1960 the tampayer Joseph V. Reed, Jr. again became ill and withdrew from Tale University; that he was confined to New York Hospital; New York City until March, 1960; that said tampayer went to Florida for health reasons upon the advice of his physicians; that his wife accompanied him; that they remained there from March until the end of May, 1960.
- cooperative spartment located at 447 Mast 57th Street, New York City; that extensive alterations were required to be made in the apartment prior to its occupancy; that during the period that alterations were being made in the cooperative apartment, the taxpayers were living in Connecticut with the husband's parents and on occasion came into New York City to supervise the alterations; that the taxpayers' first child was been on September 18, 1960 in New York City; that the taxpayers were

vacationing in Florian from October to Becomber, 1969; that
on January 1, 1961 the tempeyors and their despiter moved into
the cooperative apartment in New York City; that the tempeyors
effected a change of dominile from Commenticut to the State
of New York on January 1, 1961; that the tempeyors did not
maintain a permanent place of abode within the State of New
York prior to January 1, 1961.

(7) That in Petroncy, 1961 the tampayer, Joseph V. Rood, Jr., returned to Tale Valversity; that he lived in a hold in New Mayon, Commedical until his graduation in June, 1961; that during this period said targeyer lived with his family in May York City on weehends and holidays; that he rejoined his wife and family in New York in June, 1962 and remained there until the end of September, 1961; that on October 1, 1961 the temperor Joseph V. Root, Jr., accepted a temperary position with International Bank for Moderatruction & Development in Machington, D. C. and loaved a fermiohed house in Machington. D. C. for the period from Asphaber 1. 1961 to August 31. 1962; that he remained in Mashington, D. C. with his family until the Fall of 1962; that in the Fall of 1962 the tampayer's family returned to New York City to the cooperative spartment; that the temperors' second daughter was been in New York City in December, 1968; that the tampeyer Joseph V. Rood, Jr. accepted a position with the Green Muchattan Bank effective Jamesry, 1963 and has been employed there ever since; that the taxpayers retained enclusive numerably of the acompassive apartment heretofore moutioned from June 1, 1960 to the date of the hearing; that it was not the targetest for the temperature of being the targetest that the abode maintained by the tangapers in Makington, I, C. the temporary.

- (8) That the impayer Joseph V. Rood, Sr. was admitted as an elector in the Youn of Greenwich, Connecticut on October 15, 1960; that he voted in Connecticut by chacater ballot from Florida in 1960 and from Washington, D. C. in 1960; that he did not vote in 1961.
- (9) That the taxpayers were and remained desiciliaries of the State of New York from Jamuary 1, 1961 to the date of the hearing; that they unintained a permutat place of abode within the State of New York during the years 1962 and 1962; that they spent more than thirty days within the State of New York during such of the years 1962 and 1962.

Buted upon the foregoing findings and all of the evidence presented herein, the State Tax finalistics hereby becides:

- (A) That the ruling of the Supreme Court invalidating the nominating potitions of the tempeyor Joseph V. Rood, Sr. merely decided that said tempeyor and not a demiciliary of the State of New York on Jesusary 1, 1960.
- (2) That during the year 1960 the taxpayers were not demiciliaries of the State of New York and did not maintain a permanent place of abode and spend more than 183 days within this State; that the taxpayers were not residents of New York State, for income tax purposes, during the enlandar year 1960 in accordance with the provintums of Section 605 of the Yex Law.
- (6) That the taxpayers abandoned their Commeticut dominile and established a new dominile in the State of New York on January 1, 1961; that the taxpayers spent more than thirty days in the State during each of the years 1961 and 1968; that the taxpayers were therefore residents of the State of

New York, for income tax purposes, for the years 1961 and 1962, within the intent and meaning of Section 605 of the Tex law,

- (D) That, accordingly, there was no tex due and enting from the tempeyers for the year 1960; that the petition of the tempeyers for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal impose temes solely with respect to the year 1960 be and the same is hereby granted; that there be refunded to the tempeyers the amount of \$7,311.30, the total smouth of tex paid by them for said year tegether with any langual interest that may be due thereon.
- (E) That, accordingly, the tempeyors are not entitled to a refund of personal income texas paid for the years 1961 and 1968; that the potition of the tempeyors for a redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal income texas with the respect to the years 1962 and 1968 be and the same is hereby denied.

DATED: Albert, her fork on the 15th day of July 1960.

MATE TAX COMMISSION

THE CASE

Mittin Kreini

STATE OF NEW YORK
STATE TAX COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION

OF

MORRIS ARON

FOR REVISION OR REFUND OF PERSONAL INCOME TAXES UNDER ARTICLE 22 OF TAX LAW FOR THE YEAR 1961

The State Tax Commission having assessed additional normal income taxes on the income of the taxpayer under Article 22 of Tax Law for the year 1961 by notice of additional assessment, and the taxpayer having filed application for revision or refund related to such additional assessment and such application having been denied, and a hearing having been held on May 12, 1964 at the offices of the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance, 80 Centre Street, New York, New York, before Francis X. Boylan, Hearing Officer, and the taxpayer having appeared in person and Edward B. Popper, C.P.A., of New York, N. Y., having been present, and the record having been duly examined and considered,

The State Tax Commission hereby finds that:

(1) By notice of additional assessment No. ABO36365 dated December 5, 1962, the State Tax Commission assessed additional normal income taxes for the year 1961 in the amount of \$1,719.18. The taxpayer's total income was allocated by this Department entirely to New York by reason of a finding that taxpayer failed to supply information to support an apportionment of such earnings within and without New York State. The taxpayer had claimed that \$15,000 of allocable earnings of \$30,000 were

apportionable to New York and taxable. By applications for revision or refund which were denied, the taxpayer raised the issue of the proper apportionment of his earned income within and without the State.

- (2) The taxpayer, a nonresident of this State and a resident of South Norwalk, Connecticut, was the president of M. Aron Corporation of New York, New York, and was president also of Corday, Inc., of South Norwalk, Connecticut, a separately incorporated corporation, not a subsidiary of M. Aron Corporation. Both corporations were closed corporations controlled by the taxpayer.
- (3) M. Aron Corporation and Corday, Inc. had close business relations through the common ownership by the taxpayer.

 M. Aron Corporation served as a sales agency for the sale of ties; the cutting of these ties and the contracting out of the actual manufacture of them was done by Corday, Inc., and inventories of stock were stored at its premises in Connecticut.
- (4) The taxpayer in the year under consideration was actually employed at the New York offices two days a week out of a six-day week, working practically full time on those days for the M. Aron Corporation. He worked four days a week on the premises of Corday, Inc. in Connecticut but devoted part of his time, found to be one-half thereof, to work of the M. Aron Corporation.
- (5) The taxpayer as president of M. Aron Corporation personally directed at Connecticut but in behalf of M. Aron Corporation the functions of purchasing new stocks of textiles and of assembling orders for customers at quoted prices. An adequate business reason existed for the taxpayer's performing this work in Connecticut, rather than at New York, as it is found, in that inventories of stock located there were a factor

to be considered by him, and certain personnel of Corday, Inc. were consulted with there by the taxpayer in connection with his carrying out these and other duties on behalf of M. Aron Corporation.

Upon the foregoing findings and all of the evidence presented herein, the State Tax Commission hereby

DETERMINES:

- (A) That the income of the taxpayer, a nonresident, earned in the year under consideration, 1961, from M. Aron Corporation of New York, New York, was earned as a corporate officer, as president of the said corporation, in an occupation carried on partly in New York to the extent of one-half of the total work performed by him for the said corporation both within and without the State; and pursuant to the provisions of Tax Law section 632 and related instructions, such income was properly apportionable as being subject to income tax of the State of New York to the extent of one-half thereof.
- (B) That taxpayer's related application for revision and review of the additional assessment for the year 1961 is granted and the additional taxes assessed by notice of additional assessment No. ABO36365 dated December 5, 1962 for the year 1961 are hereby cancelled in full.

DATED: Albany, New York, this 2nd day of June, 1969.

STATE TAX COMMISSION

President

Commissioner

Commissioner

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF

MARGARRY T. SAUNDERS

POR REDETERMINATION OF A DEPICTMENT OR POR A REPURD OF PERSONAL INCOME TAXES UNDER ARTICLE 22 OF THE TAX LAW FOR THE YEARS 1960, 1961 AND 1962

The temperor berein having duly filed a potition for redetermination of a deficiency or for a refund of personal income temes under Article 22 of the Tex Les for the years 1960, 1961 and 1962 and a hearing having been hold in connection therewith at the office of the State Tax Commission, 80 Contro Street, New York, Now York at which hearing tempeyer appeared and testified, and the record having been duly exemined and sensidered,

The State Tax Countesian hereby finde:

- (1) That the temperer, Margaret Saunders, Siled Income tex returns for the years 1961 and 1962 and falled to file an income tex return for the year 1960. A statement of smilt changes and notice of deficiency for the years 1960, 1961 and 1962 in the emount of \$153.83 including interest and a penalty for \$9.99 for the year 1960 were issued.
- (2) That the temperer entered into a separation agreemen dated April 4, 1958 which was anended September 16, 1958 which provided for the payment of the sun of \$4,940 per year for the support of the tempeyor and the support of two children of the marriage; that the agreement did not provide that a specific amount of the payment was for the support of the children.

(3) That the temperor received payments totaling the the from Francis Semmers pursuant to the separation agreement during each of the years 1960, 1961 and 1962.

Based upon the foregoing and all the evidence presented berein, the State Tax Commission hereby,

DECIDES

- (A) That since the separation agreement did not provide for a specific amount of the payment for the support of the children, all the payments are includible as alimeny payments under section 612 of the Tax Law and are required to be reported as income.
- (3) That the statements of audit changes recomputing the New York State income for the years 1960, 1961 and 1962 are correct and lawfully due and owing together with interest and other statutory charges, and that the tempayer's petition for redetermination of a deficiency for the years 1960, 1961 and 1962 is hereby denied.

Dated: Albany, Fow York this 10th day of October 196 .

/s/	JOSEPH H. MURPHY
	ANTE (D) PER
, ,	
/s/	JAMES R. MACDUFF
	The state of the s