Sucone Par Late & man,

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION Juguies Merces W, 4. L.

In the Matter of the Petition

of

MELVIN W. & CARMEN L. JAQUIER

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income : Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the Tax Law for the (Year(s) 1961 :

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

John D. Handerhan , being duly sworn, deposes and says that

she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 17th day of September , 1969, she served the within

Notice of Decision (or Retexpination) by (certified) mail upon Melvin W. &

Carmen Jaquier (REDEXPENDATION) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

Mr. & Mrs. Melvin W. & Carmen L. Jaquier
1101 West 49th Street
Kansas City 12, Missouri

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

John Hardeben

Sworn to before me this

17th day of September , 1969.

Frace E. Pritchars

To be submitted to the Commission, upon your approval.

August 18, 1969

LAN: jw

Huer E.

From Lawrence A. Newman

Income Der Determin.

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

**MEMORANDUM** 

TO: FROM: SUBJECT: Mr. Edward Rook Lawrence Newman Default Orders DATE August 18, 1969 OFFICE Hearing Room

(1) Melvin W. and Carmen L. Jaquier
Art. 22 Year 1961

(2) Rose Hurtis
Art. 22 Year 1961

(3) Nicola and Clementine Orlando Art. 23 Year 1961

(4) Frank Igneri
Art. 22 Years 1961 and 1963

(5) Max Tomchin
Art. 22 Years 1962 and 1963

(6) Morris Tomchin
Art. 22 Years 1962 and 1963

The following facts apply to the cases under consideration:

- (1) A notice to appear for a formal hearing was sent to the taxpayer and his representative at the last addresses known to the Income Tax Bureau.
- (2) Neither the taxpayer nor his representative appeared at the time of the hearing, and no one has contacted the hearing officer in any manner to explain the lack of an appearance.
- (3) The original determination and related papers were reviewed for the possibility of discovering patent error or illegality.
- (4) Most of the cases contain a history of noncompliance with Department requests for information, and there is suspicion in such cases that the taxpayer is at least partly motivated to delay collection efforts seemingly without merit.

- (5) A short-form order of default has been prepared which denies the taxpayer's petition by reason of his default and will serve to permit resumption of collection activities.
- (6) If the taxpayer or his representative requests a reopening of the case within a reasonable time, a hearing will be scheduled for the purpose of examining the merits of the petition fully. This is not expected to occur, except under very unusual circumstances.

I offer these six default orders for the approval of the Commission.

LAWRENCE NEWMAN

Hearing Officer

LAN: jw

STATE OF NEW YORK
STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

DEFAULT ORDER

MELVIN W. AND CARMEN L. JAQUIER:

Concerning Personal Income Tax Pursuant to Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1961

A formal hearing having been scheduled on July 9, 1969 at 3:00 P.M. at the offices of the State Tax Commission in the City of New York, New York upon the petition by the taxpayer(s), and the taxpayer(s) having failed to appear after being duly notified thereof;

Now on motion of the attorney for the Department of Taxation and Finance,

THE STATE TAX COMMISSION hereby decides that the taxpayer(s) have defaulted at said scheduled hearing, and that the petition is denied for their non-appearance.

Dated: Albany, New York, this 11th day of September 1969.

STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER