POOR QUALITY THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT (S) ARE FADED &BLURRED

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

L\ 9 (6-65)

BUREAU OF LAW A-Z
MEMORANDUM Starobin Morris H.

TO:

State Tax Commission

FROM:

Solomon Sies, Hearing Officer

SUBJECT:

MORRIS E. STARGETH

1954 Assessment @ 407771 1955 Assessment #8 587325

Articles 16 and 16-A

Hearings with reference to the above matter were held before me at 80 Centre Street, New York, N. Y. on October 21, 1963 and February 13, 1964. The appearances and evidence were as shown in the stenographic minutes and the exhibits submitted herewith.

The issues involved herein are: (1) timeliness in the filing of application for revision or refund for the year 1995;
(2) disallowance of expenses in connection with refinencing and
legal reconditioning of home sold and profit therefrom reported
as capital gain; (3) disallowance of medical expenses; and
(4) whether commission income was subject to unincorporated business tax.

The taxpayer filed a timely application for revision or refund with respect to the year 1954. As a result of a preliminary bearing with respect to said assessment, certain deductions were allowed and a partial cancellation was issued. The taxpayer is not contesting the assessment for the year 1954.

The assessment for the year 1955 was issued on April 15, 1959, based upon failure to furnish information as requested. On July 22, 1959, the accountant for the tempeyer wrote the department that with reference to the 1955 assessment against the tempeyer, "He requested a hearing on this matter at which time he intended to prove he over very little. So far your department has sent no date." A formal application for revision or refund (Form IT-113) for the year 1955 was not filed until October 26, 1962.

In the case of <u>Gity Bank Farmers Trust Gamesy v. Graves</u>, 259 App. Div. 68, Appeal denied 2/59 App. Biv. 940 Affirmed 38 N.E. 26 211, it was held that Section 374 of the Tax Law does not require the tampayer to draft his application in precise and exact allegations.

I am of the opinion that the letter of the temperer's accountant dated July 22, 1959 constituted a timely application for revision or refund for the year 1955. To: State Tax Commission

NEP MOURIS H. STARGEIN

Although the taxpayer appeared at the first hearing in connection with the issue of timeliness, he did not appear at the subsequent hearing in connection with the substantive issues. However, the accountant submitted medical bills substantiating the medical deductions. I am therefore of the epinion that the medical expenses should be allowed in full.

With respect to the deduction of \$4,375.87 claimed for "refinencing, legal and reconditioning of home," the tempeyer's representative stated at the hearing that this was in connection with renovating and preparing the residence of the tempeyer lecated at Hewlett Harbor, H. T. for re-sale. The tampeyer sold his residence semetime in Becember, 1955. It is to be noted that the tampeyer elected to consider the sale of his home as a capital gain and reported the profit of the sale of same as such. I am of the opinion that the expenses incurred in connection therewith should have been included in the cost of the property and not taken as a normal tax deduction. It is to be noted that the tampeyer included in the cost of his residence the sum of \$4,450 expended for furniture. I therefore believe that no adjustment should be made on the capital gain tax reported.

with respect to the commission income reported by the texpayer in the sum of \$11,704.00, it appears that the tempeyer who
was an officer and stockholder of Bridge Plans Blect. Supply 60.
in addition to his salary also received a benus at the end of the
year based upon the profits of the corporation. I am of the
opinion that the sum of \$11,704 represented additional compensation
as an employee not subject to unincorporated business tax in
accordance with the provisions of Section 366 of the Tax Law; that
the assessment with respect to unincorporated business tax should be
cancelled in full and that the assessment with respect to normal
tax should be corrected so as to allow the taxpayer the medical
expenses claimed. A recomputation of the assessment is set forth
in the annexed proposed determination.

For the reasons stated above, I recommend that the determination of the Tax Commission in the above matter be substantiated in the form submitted herewith.

/s/

SULOMON SIES

MAPINE OFFICER

January 9, 1968

1-15-68

MYATE P MEN YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION

NARALS S. STANORIS

POR REVISION OF NEPTED OF PERSONAL INCOME PAZZO NEBER ANTICLE 26 AND NELHGORPONATED BREITERS TAINS NEBER ANTICLE 26-A OF THE PAZ LAW FOR THE THAN 1955

nersia it. Starbin, the temperar berein, having filed a timely application for revision or refund of personal income temes under Article 16 and unincorporated business temes under Article 16-4 of the Tex Low for the year 1955 and bearings baring been held in connection therewith at the office of the State Tex Commission at 50 Contro Street, if a York, on the Slot day of October, 1963 and on the 13th day of Petruary, 1964 before Johanna Sice, Searing officer of the Department of Textition and Finance, at which the temperar appeared personally on Cotober St, 1963 and having been represented by Nappy Cohon, 6.7.A., and the record having been duly consispt and considered.

The State Year Commission hereby findst

(1) That the temperor merrie H. Starotin filed a Nov York
State means tax Resident Rature for the year 1955 in which he
reported salary income in the sem of \$24,300g that in addition, the
temperor reported other income consisting of consistions in the sem
of \$11,70hg that the temperor deducted the sum of \$1,375,80 for "refinance"
legal and reconditioning of home", that in addition the temperor
deducted medical expenses in the sum of \$505,15g that the temperor
also reported capital gain tex in the sum of \$1,59,66g that on April
15, 1959 the Reportment of Taxation and Finance made on additional
assessment against the temperor for the year 1955 (Assessment #857725)
disallering the deduction claim for "refinancing, logal and recondition—
ing of home" and further disallered the entire anomal claimed for

medical expenses that accordingly, additional across and not explicit that was computed to be due to the own of this dig that in addition, the amelication known was hold to be subject to up. Incorporated traditions, taken and unknownerated traditions into me computed to be due in the sun of \$275,633 that said accordant use based upon the fellows of the tempoper to furnish the mesonary information.

- (2) That the temperate representative estated and/ord bills estated the method deductions in the sea of 18,76,789 that the method expenses are hereby allowed in Salle
- (3) That the deduction in the own of highfully chalmed for "refinencing, legal and reconstitioning of home" represented repairs and other expenses insurred by tempaper during the year in facus in a consection with a positioner exact by him as installed turbory law in it which he sold constitue in insurable, 1988, that the tempaper absorbed to exaction the cale of his home as a copietal gain and respected the profits from the male of sold recidence as a capital gaing that the expenses insured thereof in hereign no a normal ten deduction.
- (b) That during the year 1955 the taxuager was analogod by bridge Plans Montrie Dupply Two, and reported on his return solvery income from each employer in the year of \$52005 that the sea of \$12,705 reported by the taxuager as "constantes known" was paid to him by Mridge Plans Shootete Supply Inc. as a bases of the each of the year and represented additional compression as an employee.

presented barain the State for the drive established bereity

BALTYANTE BEND

(A) That the ememberion income revolved by the temperor of more fully set furth in Finding (4) above, personanted additional compensation as an employee and was not subject to unknowpureled business ten in accordance with the previousms of Regules 366 of the

the size of \$175.53 be and the same is incoming constituted by the size of the size of the size of the same of the size of the

(a) that the temperar was estilled to claim a deduction.

For medical expenses in the sea of \$1,36,70 on and forth in Finding (a) above; that the deduction of \$1,375,87 was not a proper meand the deduction of \$1,375,87 was not a proper means the deduction as successful and successful in Finding (3) above; that the accommod of additional mornel and not replace gain ten to bursty propagated as follows:

Refinancing legal and remoditioning of home expenses claimed, discillated belone before medical deductions reported formated belones to medical deductions. Ret impose removing claimed formation claimed.



Normal tax on above
Not excited gain the reported
Potel normal and not expited gain tax
i-as reduction
intel normal and not expited gain
tax due
Total normal and not expited gain
tax due

ABBS. TT

(4) That accordingly the accordingly the paor 2055 (accordingly the accordingly the accordingly the paor 2055 (accordingly (5)-587)25) eaccyt ac modified in according with Perturbations (6) and (5) above is according that each accordingly as according to modifical act have been last according any test are other charge which according and have been last according to the temperate acceptant according for revision or refund fixed with respect thereta, accept as modified torothe, be and the case is berety denied.

1968
SATES Albung, New York on the 17th day of January . 1968

JOSEPH H. MURPHY

AMELIAN

JOSEPH H. MURPHY

MALLEL

JOSEPH H. MURPHY

/s/