POOR QUALITY THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT (S) ARE FADED &BLURRED

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

L 9 (12,67)

BUREAU OF LAW Poet, Clamens

68

TO:

State Tax Countssion

FROM:

Prenels V. Dow, Hearing Officer

SUBJECT:

In the Matter of the Petition of CLIMBUS POST For Redetermination of a Deficiency or For Refund of Personal Income Taxes Under Article 22 of the Tax Law For the Year 1964

A hearing with reference to the above matter was held before me at 80 Centre Street, New York, New York on Jamesy 25, 1968. The appearances and emilbits produced ware as shown in the stanographic minutes submitted horosith.

The issue involved herein is whether the tempeyor was a resident of New York as defined by section 605(a) of the Yex Lew. The tempeyor filed a New York State income tax resident return for 1964 in which he reported, "I had no New York State income either before or after I moved to New York. I worked in Pennsburgh, Pennsylvania." The tempeyor also reported on his tax return that he resided in New York from October 1, 1964 to December 31, 1964. December 31, 1964.

On March 13, 1967, a notice of deficiency and a statement of audit changes were issued for the year 1964 (File So. 69987803) which determined personal income tax and interest due in the sum of \$1,900.79. The tax deficiency was issued on the besis that the taxpayer was a resident of New York State and accordingly, his total income reported on his Pederal return was taxable. In addition, rental income and expenses, moving expenses, employee business expenses and itemized deductions which the taxable claimed on his Pederal return ware distillance. which the taxpayer claimed on his Pederal return were distilled. as unsubstantiated.

The tempeyer was a life-leng recident of New York before being transferred by his employer, Highway Erailer Company of Chicago, to its home effice in Chicago, Illinois during the middle of 1963. Prior to the transfer, the tempeyer recided with his wife and two children in Westbury, Long Island in a house which he owned. The tempeyer was employed in New Jercey as an Assistant Hise President of the Highway Trailer Company. Upon being transferred to Chicago, tempeyer rented his furnished house and leased a furnished house in Winnetka, Illinois for a three-month period. On the empiration of the lease of the house in Winnetka, the tempeyer rented as unfurnished house for 10 months which he furnished with inexpensive furniture. In March of 1964, prior to the empiration of the 10 month lease. The of 1964, prior to the expiration of the 10 month lease, the

taxpayer obtained a position with Berman Leasing Gospany in Pennsburgh, Pennsylvania approximately 150 miles from the taxpayer's home in Westbury, Long Island. The taxpayer's family did not move to Pennsburgh but returned to New York. The taxpayer's furniture located in Illinois was sold with the exception of a washer and dryer. After obtaining the position with the Berman Leasing Company, the taxpayer lived in motels and botels until 1966. The runt of the taxpayer's room was paid for by his employer. The taxpayer visited his wife and family during 1964 on an average of three weekends a month for 10 months. Buring 1964, the taxpayer maintained a safe deposit box in New York in which he kept his personal papers. He also retained his New York driver's license until 1967. The automobile owned by the taxpayer was registered in New York State during the year 1964.

At the hearing, the tampayer refused to submit any evidence to substantiate his rental income and expenses, moving expenses, employee business expenses and his itemised deductions.

It is my opinion that the tampayer was taxable as a New York resident for the year 1964 within the intent and meaning of section 665(a) of the Yax Law. The tampayer did not show that he changed his domicile when he moved to Chicago in 1965 or to Pennsylvania in 1964. I find that he retained his domicile in New York State, and maintained a persuament place of abode in New York State and did not maintain one extends the State during the entire year. In addition, the taxpayer spent more than 30 days in the State.

For the reasons stated above, I recommend that the determination of the State Tax Commission in the above matter denying the taxpayer's petition be substantially in the form submitted herewith.

/s/	FRANCIS V. DOW
	ROLLING OLLINGS

PVD tac

March 7, 1968

3-14-68

STATE OF MEN YORK STATE TAX GOODLESTON

IN THE MATTER OF THE PERTYLON

0

CLEARS POST

POR A REDUTEMENTATION OF A DEFI-CIENCY OR POR REPURE OF PERSONAL INCOME TAXES UNDER ARTICLE 32 OF THE TAX LAW POR THE YEAR 1964

The taxpayer herein having filed a potition for a redotermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal income taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 1964 and a hearing having been held in connection therewith at the effice of the State Tax Counterion, 80 Centre Street, New York, New York on January 23, 1968 before Francie V. Dow, Hearing Officer of the Department of Taxation and Finance, at which hearing the tempayer appeared and testified and the record having been duly emmined and considered,

The State Tax Countesien hereby finds:

- (1) That the temperer filed a New York State income tem resident return for 1964 in which he reported: "I had no New York State income either before or after I moved to New York. I worked in Pennsburg, Pennsylvania."; that the temperer reported on his tem return that he was a resident of New York from October 1, 1964 to Secondar 31, 1964.
- (2) That on March 13, 1967, a notice of deficiency and a statement of audit changes were issued for the year 1968 (File No. 69987803) determining personal income tex and interest due in the sum of \$1,900.79 on the basis that the tempayor was a resident of New York State and that his total income reported on his Federal return was taxable and that rental income and empenses, moving empenses, employee business empenses and itemized deductions claimed on his Federal return were disallowed as unsubstantiated.

- (3) That the temperar was a life-long resident of New York State before being transferred by his employer to Chicago, Ellinois during the middle of 1963; that prior to his transfer, the temperar resided with his wife and two children in Westbury, long Enland in a house which he emed; that he was employed during 1963 by Highmay Trailer Company of Chicago as an Assistant Vice President; that prior to his transfer to Chicago, he was employed by the company of its office in New Jersey.
- (4) That upon being transferred by his employer to Chicago, Illinois in 1963, the tempeyer rented out his furnished house in Westbury, Long Island, and leased a furnished house in Winnethn, Illinois for a three month period; that on the expiration of the Vinnetta lease, the tempeyor rented an unfurnished house for 10 months which he furnished with inexpensive furniture; that in March of 1964, prior to the empiration of the 10 month lease, the tempeyer obtained a position with Boronn Lossing Company in Pennsburg, Pennsylvania, approximately 150 miles from the temperer's home in Wootbury, Long Island; that the tempeyor's family did not move to Fernature, Pennsylvania with the tempayer but returned to Long Zeland and continued to reside in Long Island until cometime in 1966; that after obtaining a position with Bernen Leading Company, the tempeyor lived in motels and hotels, the cost of which was paid for by his employer; that after moving to Pennsburg, Pennsylvania, the tampayer lived with his wife and family in Long Island on an average of three weekends a month for 10 months during 1964.
- (5) That the taxpayer rented a case deposit ben in Now York State during 1960 in which he kept his personal papers; that he did not rent a case deposit ben elecuhere; that the taxpayer retained his Now York driver's license until 1967; that the taxpayer did not obtain a driver's license in any other state until 1967; that the automobile outed by the taxpayer was registered in Now York State dering 1966;

that the tempeyer did not vote in any election during 1963 or 1965.

- (6) That the tempeyer did not change his demicile when he moved to Chicago in 1963 or to Penneylvania in 1964; that the tempeyer was demiciled in Nov York State and spent more than 30 days and maintained a permanent place of abode in Nov York State throughout 1964; that the tempeyer did not maintain a permanent place of abode outside of Nov York during the entire year of 1964.
- (7) That the temperer refused to submit any evidence to substantiate his rental income and expenses, moving expenses, exployer's business expenses and itemised deductions for the year 1964.

Insed upon the foregoing findings and all of the evidence presented herein, the State Tax Commission hereby

BECTION:

بدي و را را يواد

- (A) That the tempeyer was a recident of New York for tem purposes during the year 1968 since he was demiciled in New York throughout the year, maintained a permanent place of abole in this State and spent more than 30 days in this State and did not maintain a permanent place of abode without the State during the entire year; that accordingly, the tempeyer's temple income from all sources was subject to New York income tex.
- (3) That the tempeyer failed to substantiate his rental income and expenses, moving and explayer's business expenses and his itemized deductions since he refused to subsit any evidence due with respect to them.
- (6) That accordingly, the notice of deficiency and statement of mulit changes (File No. 69987803) for the year 1964 are correct and do not include any tax or other charges which are not lamfully due and owing and that tempsyer's petition for redetermination of the

deficiency for the year 1964 be and the same is hereby denied.

DATED: Albert, New York on this 25th day of

March

1960.

SPACE TAX OCCUPATION

/s/

JOSEPH H. MURPHY

/s/

A. BRUCE MANLEY

egs - 44 1 8 12 1 1 1

/s/

SAMUEL E. LEPLER