POOR QUALITY THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT (S) ARE FADED &BLURRED

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

BUREAU OF LAW A-Z MEMORANDUM Polarten, arthur J.

TO:

State Tax Counission

FROM:

Francis V. Dow. Hearing Officer

SUBJECT: ARTHUR S. GOLDSTEIN

Application for Revision or Refund of Personal Income Tames Under Article 16, and Unincorporated Business Tames Under Article 16-A of the Tax Law for the Year 1956

A hearing with reference to the above matter was scheduled to be heard before me at 80 Centre Street, New York, New York on November 18, 1966 and December 20, 1967. The tempayer defaulted in appearance on both occasions.

The issue involved herein is whether the tempaper made a timely application for revision or refund of personal income temes assessed for the year 1956. The assessment (Assessment No. B-702687) was issued on December 9, 1959 which assessed additional normal and unincorporated business tames due in the sum of \$521.89. At the preliminary hearing, tampayer's representative alleged that he was responsible for the late filing of the application and contended that where a tempayer's representative fails completely to perform the services for which he was hired, the statute of limitations contained in Section 574 would not apply to the tempayer. The tempayer's application for revision was not filed with the Department of Tamation and Finance until November 15, 1962, more than one year after the issuence of the assessment.

For the reasons stated above, I recommend that the determination of the State Tex Commission denying the tempayer's application in this matter be substantially in the form submitted berewith.

/s/

FRANCIS V. DOW

Restan Carleer

TVDIGY

Inc.

January 29. 1968

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

IR THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION

OF

ARTHUR S. GOLDSTRIN

POR REVISION OF REFUND OF PERSONAL INCOME TAXES UNDER ARTICLE 16, AND UNINCORPORATED BUSINESS TAXES UNDER ARTICLE 16-A, OF THE TAX LAW FOR THE YEAR 1976

The texpaper, having filed an application for revision or refund of personal income taxes assessed under Article 16 of the Tax Law and unincorporated business taxes assessed under Article 16-A of the Tax Law and the matter having been scheduled for a hearing on November 18, 1966 and December 20, 1967 at the effice of the State Tax Commission, 80 Contre Street, New York, New York before Francis V. Dav, Hearing Officer of the Department of Taxation and Finance and the texpaper having failed to appear and the Fesser having been duly examined and considered,

The State Tax Commission hereby finds:

- (1) That the taxpayer filed New York resident income tax and unincorporated business tax returns for the year 1976 in which he claimed travel and auto expenses of \$2,788.52 and entertainment expenses of \$2,172.00.
- (2) That on December 9, 1959, a motion of assessment of additional income tax was issued for the year 1956 (Assessment No. 8-702687) disallowing the deductions claimed for travel and auto expenses and expenses and essessing additional tax in the amount of \$521.89; that on November 13, 1962, more than one year from the date of the issuence of the assessment, the texpayer filed an application for revision or refund of the

personal income and unincorporated business taxes assessed for the year 1956.

Besed upon the feregoing findings, the State Tax Commis-

DET CANTILLE

That the tempayer failed to file a timely application for revision or refund for income taxes for the year 1996 as required by Section 3% of the Tax Law; that accordingly, the additional taxes assessed against the taxpayer for the year 1996 under Articles 16 and 16-A of the Tax Law are correct and are legally due and ewing tegether with interest and other statutory charges and the taxpayer's application for revision or refund be and the same is hereby denied.

Dated: Albany, New York this 13th day of February . 1968.

STATE TAX COMMISSION

	A. BRUCE MANLEY	
10000	COMPTESTON	