POOR **QUALITY** THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT (S) ARE FADED &BLURRED

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

BUREAU OF LAW A-Z
MEMORANDUM Posenblum, Samuel

TO:

Commissioners Murphy, Meetuff and Conlon

FROM:

Francis Y. Dow. Hearing Officer

SUBJECT: In the matter of the opplication of Samuel Resemblum for revision or rafund of personal income texas under Article 16 of the Tex Lev for the year 1999

A hearing with reference to the above matter was scholuled before me for November 15, 1966 at 80 Centre Street, New York, New York. The temperer defaulted in appearance. A letter was subsequently sent to the tempeyer affording him an opportunity to have the hearing rescheduled. No response to that latter was received.

An assessment (Assessment No. 3 832512) was issued disallowing the optional deduction of \$1,000 claimed by the tempeyer on the grounds that since the tempeyer listed specific business deductions he could not claim the optional deduction. The assessment also disallowed a deduction of \$6,608.92 which represented a 1957 not operating loss on the ground that there is no provision in the Yex Law for a deduction of that nature. I am of the opinion that the Income for Bureau properly disclieved these deductions. In fact, the taxpayer did not object to these disallowences.

As an offset to the assessment the temperer claimed that an error was made in his ten return as a result of which the income he reported was higher than the correct amount of his income. He alleged that this was coused by the listing of estain property owned by Hilsen Roulty, Inc., as being euned by the taxpayer. The franchise tax return of Hilsen Realty, Inc. for the year 1959 has been destroyed. The taxpayer failed to substantiate his claim. However, on the Federal sudit of the taxpayer's 1959 Federal return, the taxpayer substantiated business expenses totalling \$3,603.10 which he neglected to list in his New York return.

It is my opinion that the additional business emenages which the temperer substantiated on the Federal sulit of his return should be allowed as a deduction for New York State income tax purposes. Section 360 of the Tax Lew provides that all ordinary and necessary expenses paid or incurred to earry on a business are deductible in computing not income. The allowance of these additional expenses results in the lowering of the taxpayor's liability in the sum of \$360.31.

For the reasons stated above, I recommend that the determination of the State Tax Commission in the above matter be substantially in the form submitted herevity.

FRANCIS V. DOW

Rearing Grileer

	/ 9
Jeaucry 18, 1967	
77Dikon	
	. •
MARTIN SCHAPIRO	
Approved	Parameter State Control of the
SAUL HECKELMAN	
Approved	

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION

SAMUEL ROSENELING

FOR REVISION ON REFUND OF PERSONAL INCOME TAXES UNDER ARTICLE 16 OF THE TAX LAW FOR THE TEAR 1959

Samuel Resemblum, the tempeyer herein, having filed an application for revision or refund of personal income temes under Article 16 of the Tax Low for the year 1979 and a hearing having been scheduled in connection therewith at the office of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, Hew York, Hew York for the 15th day of Hovenber, 1966 before Francis V. Bow, Hearing Officer of the Department of Taxation and Finance, and the tempeyer having defaulted in appearance at the scheduled hearing and a letter having subsequently been sent to the tempeyer on Hovenber 23, 1966 affording the tempeyer an opportunity to request a rescheduled hearing, and the tempeyer having failed to respond to such letter and the matter having been reviewed and considered,

The State Tex Countesies hereby finds:

(1) That the tempeyer filed a New York State resident tem return for the year 1959; that an assessment (Assessment No. B \$32512) in the ensure of \$1,331.46 was issued disallowing the optional deduction of \$1,000 claimed on the grounds that the tempeyer claimed specific business deductions and cannot

claim both deductions, and also disallowing a deduction of \$6,608.92 which represented a not operating loss correver on the ground that there is no provision in the Tax Low for the allowance of a deduction of that nature.

- (2) That the temperer had business expenses enunting to \$3,603.10, in addition to the business expenses which he listed in his tex return.
- to substantiate his claim, as an affect of the said assessment, that he reported more income in his return than the correct amount because certain property eneed by Milson Realty, Inc. was listed as being sened by the taxpayer; that no other evidence was produced to show that the taxpayer's income was incorrectly reported in his 1999 tax return.

Based upon the foregoing findings and all of the evidence presented herein, the State Tex Commission hereby DETERMINE:

- (A) That the \$1,000 optional deduction claimed by the tempayer is disallowed since the tempayer claimed specific deductions and cannot claim both under section 360(18) of the Tax Low; that the deduction of \$6,608.92 claimed by the tempayer for not operating loss is disallowed since there is no previation in the Tax Low for a deduction of that nature.
- (B) That the tempeyer failed to submit any evidence to substantiate his claim that he reported more income in his tem return than the correct amount required to be reported.
- (C) That the taxpayer is allowed further deductions for business expenses which he failed to report in his income tax return emounting to \$3,603.10 since all ordinary and necessary

expenses incurred in corrying on a business are deductible in computing not income.

(D) That by virtue of "(C)" above, the essessment for additional tomes (Assessment No. B 832512) for the year 1959 is hereby medified by concelling the amount of 8360-31 therefrom that the belonce of the assessment for the year 1959 is the medified amount of 8571-51 is affirmed and that such amount is correct and lawfully due and owing, together with interest and other aborges, and does not include any other taxes or charges which are not lawfully due and owing.

DATED: Albeay, New York, the 27th day of January . 1967.

/s/	JOSEPH H. MURPHY
	78 88 19 M.T.
/s/	JAMES R. MACDUFF
	GCGMX88XCM
/s/	WALTER MACLYN CONLON
	((65) (5) (6)