## **POOR QUALITY** THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT (S) ARE FADED &BLURRED

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

JREAU OF LAW

A-Z

MEMORANDUM Lattrap, Llonard **BUREAU OF LAW** 

TO: ...

Commissioners Murphy, Palestin & Macduff

FROM:

Solomon Sies. Hearing Officer

SUBJECT:

LECKARD LATEROP

1954 Assessment No. B-637580 1955 Assessment No. B-637581 195 Assessment No. B-66226) 1957 Assessment No. 3-662264

Formal Bearing

A hearing with reference to the above matter was held before me at 80 Centre Street, New York, W.Y. on Nevember 22, 1963. The appearances and the evidence produced were as shown in the stanographic minutes and exhibits submitted herewith.

The issues involved herein are: (1) whether the assess-ments for the years 1954 and 1955 were timely made within the three (3) year statutory time period in accordance with subdivision la Section 373 of the Tax Law: (2) whether the taxpayer maintained a permanent place of abode within the State of New York during the years 1956 and 1957 and was therefore, for income tax purposes, a resident of the State of New York during said years in accordance with subdivision 7 of Section 350 of the Tax Law.

Prior and up to September 20, 1953, the taxpayer was a demiciliary and resident of the State of New Jersey residing with his wife and three children at Pleasant Valley Road, Mateuan, New Jersey, where the taxpayer owned his own home. The taxpayer filed non-resident personal income tax returns for the years 1954 and 1955 indicating that he was a resident of the State of New Jersey and that he was employed by the Girl Scouts of V.S.A. in 1994 at 155 East 44th Street, New York, H.Y. and was employed during 1959 by Shippen Geer & Co., 37 Hast 39th Street, New York City, N.Y.

The taxpayer's former wife wrete a letter to the Income Tax Bureau in 1957 indicating that the tempayor was not a resident of New Jersey. As a result there was an exchange of correspondence between the Department and the taxpayer. In March, 1999, the tax-payer was requested by the Encome fax Dureau to file amended re-turns for the years 1994 and 1955, which he did on May 20, 1999. On July 17, 1959, assessments were made against the tempayor for the years 1954 and 1955 (Assessment Nos. 2-637580 and 2-637581 in emounts of \$129.14 and \$17.82, respectively). On Setcher 19, 1999 pertial cancellations were issued for the years 1994 and 1995 in the sums of \$24.14 and \$13.46, respectively, on the ground that the temperer was entitled to an exemption only of \$2,200.00 for himself and three (3) dependents since he was not living with his wife insteed of the \$3,700.00 claimed by him, and the tax adjusted asserdingly.

TO: Commissioners Murphy, Palestin & Macduff

RE: LEONARD LATEROP

The taxpayer filed resident personal income tax returns for the years 1956 and 1957 indicating that he was a New York resident and employed in the State of New York during said years and was divorced in March, 1956. On September 15, 1959 the Department of Taxation and Finance made additional assessments (Assessment Nos. B-662263 and B-662264 in the amounts of \$120,50 and \$567.01, respectively) against the taxpayer for the years 1956 and 1957 recomputing the tax on the basis of a non-resident taxpayer disallowing insurance premiums, alimony payments made to a non-resident, interest payments on mortgage and taxes on real property owned in New Jersey.

On September 20, 1953, the taxpayer remeved from New Jersey and went to live with a cousin at 155 West 56th Street, New York City, N.Y. where he remained through 1957. The taxpayer at the time of his removal to New York City in 1953 intended to remain temporarily in this state and to remove to New Jersey upon the consummation of a matrimonial litigation pending in New Jersey. Because of unforeseen circumstances, he deferred his departure from the State of New York and his stay within the State of New York became one of indefinite duration. The taxpayer did not evince any intention nor did he actually effect a change of his demicile from New Jersey to New York but continued to remain a demiciliary of the State of New Jersey.

It is to be noted that for the years 1954 and 1955 the tampayer did not omit from gross income an amount in excess of 25% of the amount of gross income stated on the original returns filed by him for said years. The assessments for the years 1954 and 1955 do not show any fraud or penalty assessments. I am of the opinion that the amended returns, which were filed after the time to file amended returns had lapsed, did not constitute a vaiver of the time to issue assessments for said years. I am of the further opinion that the amended returns were not timely filed; that the assessments made for the years 1954 and 1955 were not made within the three (3) year statutory time limit as provided in subdivision 1 of Section 373 of the Tax Law and that said assessments should be cancelled.

In Prentice Hall New York Tax Service, Vol. 2, Page 55,224, Paragraph 55,310, it is stated:

"A home established for an indefinite period is no less permanent because of the realisation of the possibility of future change if circumstances should warrant it. Therefore, a taxpayer whose work renders him subject to transfer from city to city can establish a permanent place of abode, since he will move only if and when transferred. On the other hand, a California executive receiving a five-year assignment to work in New York might buy a house there to live in for that period without it constituting a permanent place of abode, it being his intention to sell it when his work was completed."

SOLOMON SIES

Hearing Officer

TO: Commissioners Murphy, Palestin & Macduff

RE: LEONARD LATHROP

I am of the opinion that although the taxpayer was a demicilary of the State of New Jersey during the years 1956 and 1957 his abode within the State of New York was of an indefinite duration and therefore constituted the maintenance of a permanent place of abode within the State of New York during the aforementioned years and that the taxpayer was, therefore, a resident of the State of New York, for income tax purposes, during said years within the intent and meaning of subdivision 7 of Section 350 of the Tax Law. I am of the further opinion that the Department cannot rely on the amended resident return of the taxpayer filed on May 20, 1959 for the year 1954 to issue an assessment for that year and then held that the taxpayer, who filed resident returns for later years on its advice, was a non-resident.

For the reasons stated above, I recommend that the determination of the Tax Commission in the above matter be substantially in the form submitted herewith.

JAN 17 1966

/s/ MARTIN SCHAPIRO

Approved

/s/ SAUL HECKELMAN

Approved

SS/tc

STATE OF BEST TOEK

IN THE PATERN OF THE APPLICATIONS

77.77

## T. ONA TATIFOR

The temperor, Leonard Lathrop, having filed applications for revision or refund of personal income tames under Article 16 of the Tex Lew for the years 1950 through and including 1957 and a hearing having been held in connection therewith an November 22, 1963 at the office of the State Tex Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York City, N.T. before Science Sies, hearing officer of the Department of Texation and Finance, at which hearing the temperor appeared personally with a witness, testimony having been tuben and the record having been duly exemined and compilered,

The State Tex Commission hereby finds:

- (1) That prior and up to September 20, 1953, the temperor was a Comiciliary and resident of the State of New Jersey reciding with his wife and three children at Pleasant Valley Road, Materia, New Jersey, where the temperor eward his own home; that the temperor filed non-resident personal income tem returns for the years 1954 and 1955 indicating that he was a resident of the State of New Jersey and that he was employed by the Girl Scouts of U.S.A. in 1954 at 155 Sast Weth Street, New York City, N.Y.; that he was employed during 1955 by Shippen Coor & Co., 37 Ment 19th Street, New York City, N.Y.;
- (2) That in March, 1959, the tempers was requested by the Department of Taxation and Pinance to file smended resident returns for 1950 and 1955; that, secondingly, the tempers filed smended returns for said years on May 20, 1959; that on July 17,

1999, accessment were made against the tempayor for the years 1994 and 1995 (Assessment Nos. 5-637950 and 5-637951 in the assesse of \$129.14 and \$17.52, respectively); that on October 19, 1999 partial concollations were issued for the years 1994 and 1995 in the sums of \$24.24 and \$13.46, respectively, on the ground that the tempayor was entitled to an exemption only of \$2,200.00 for bissoif and three (3) dependents since he was not living with his wife instead of the \$3.700.00 claimed by him, and the tem adjusted secondingly.

- (3) That the temporer filed resident personal income temporare for the years 1096 and 1097 indicating that he was a New York resident and employed in the State of New York during said years and was divorced in Sarah, 1096.
- (6) That on September 15, 109) the Department of Taxation and Finance made additional assessments (Assessment Ros. D-66226) and D-662264 in the amounts of \$120.50 and 7567.01, respectively) against the tempeyer for the years 1956 and 1997 resonanting the tem on the basis of a non-resident tampayer disclibuing insurance premiums, alimony payments cade to a non-resident, interest payments on mortgage and texas on real property cound in New Jersey.
- Sew Jersey and went to live with a countr at 195 West 56th Street,
  New York City, N.Y. where he remained through 1957; that during the
  aforementioned period he did not vote in either the State of New York
  or the State of New Jersey; that during the period from September 20,
  1953 through 1957 the tempeyer's place of abode within the State of
  New York was of indefinite duretion; that during the aforementioned
  period the tempeyer did not evince any intention nor did be actually
  effect a change of his demicile from New Jersey; that during the remained a demiciliary of the State of New Jersey; that during the years
  1956 and 1957, the tempeyer maintained a personner place of abode within
  the State of New York.

Double upon the feregoing findings and all of the evidence presented herein, the State Tax Commission hereby

## 

- (A) That the assented returns for the years 19% and 1985 filed by the tempoyer on May 20, 1959 were not timely filed; that the assessments for the years 19% and 1995 issued on July 17, 1989 were not timely isomed in accordance with Scotion 373 of the Can Law; that, accordingly, the assessments for the years 19% and 1975 (Assessment Soc. 3-637530 and 3-637531) were not due andwere not lawfully demanded and that the aforementationed assessments are hereby cancelled in full, AND IT 18 50 0000000.
- (D) That although the temperor was not a demicalizery of the State of New York during the years 1936 and 1937 but maintained a permanent place of abode within the State of New York during the aforementioned years, he was a resident of the State of New York, for income tax purposes, during the years 1956 and 1937 within the intent and meaning of subdivision 7 of Section 350 of the Year Law; that, accordingly, the assumments for the years 1936 and 1937 (Assessment Pos, D-662363 and D-662364) were not due and were not lawfully demanded and that the aforementioned assessment are hereby somewised in full, AND 37 No GENERALD.

March March

THE TAX COMMENTS IN

/s/	JOSEPH H. MURPHY
/s/	IRA J. PALESTIN