POOR QUALITY THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT (S) ARE FADED &BLURRED

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

JREAU OF LAW

MEMORANDUM Heath, Percy and

June

BUREAU OF LAW

TO:

Commissioners Murphy and Macduff

-FROM: E. H. Best, Counsel

SUBJECT: Percy and June Heath, petitioners for a redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal income taxes under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 1962

On November 24, 1965 a hearing was held at 80 Centre Street, New York, New York. Taxpayers were not personally present and appeared by their attorney, Harold E. Lovette, Esq.

The issue involved herein is whether claimed deductions for business expenses were (1) substantiated, and (2) ordinary and necessary in the production of income.

Taxpayers, residents of New York, filed a joint return for 1962, deducting (a) business expenses of \$7,584.76 claimed to have been incurred by Percy Heath, a professional musician, of which \$4,500 was claimed as "board and lodging" for 30 weeks of travel at \$150 per week, \$600 for uniforms, \$375 for uniform maintenance, \$975 for instrument certage, \$200 for depreciation, \$389.76 for telephone, \$25 for union dues and \$520 for tips, and (b) life insurance premiums in the sum of \$1,170, and claimed an overpayment of \$71.04.

Audit changes dated September 8, 1964 disallewed \$3,500 of business expenses as excessive and unsubstantiated, allowing the balance of \$4,084.76 as a deduction. \$870 of life insurance premiums deducted was also disallowed as in excess of the legally permitted maximum, and recomputed the tax to show a liability for additional tax and interest of \$120.49.

Federal audit changes disallowed \$3,436.32 of tempayers* claimed business expenses for the year 1962.

Texpayers concede that \$870 of the claimed life insurance premiums is excessive pursuant to section 615(d)(1) of the Tax Law, but contend that the claimed business expenses were necessarily incurred. The only evidence produced at the hearing consisted of an affidavit of one Conrad Kirnen, taxpayers business agent, relating to taxpayers absence from home, but giving no dates, nor any details of expenses, and twelve hotel bills covering less than forty days out of thirty weeks claimed.

The hotel bills aggregated \$580 of which \$350 was for room charges and the balance for restaurant and other charges. Neither Conrad Kirnon nor either of the taxpayers appeared and taxpayers' attorney conceded that there was no other evidence as to any of the claimed expenses, nor could any additional information be furnished. The attorney also conceded that the taxpayers "would have to rely on the judgment of the Tax Commission" as to the claimed business expenses.

It is my opinion that \$4,084.76 adequately reflects the ordinary and necessary expenses incurred in the production of the taxpayers income; that taxpayers have failed to produce any evidence sufficient to satisfy the burden of proof as to their claims of \$3,500 additional expenses as ordinary and necessary; nor have they furnished any substantiation of such claimed expenses; and based on the information adduced at the hearing, the exhibits submitted, the Federal audit change and the admissions of the taxpayers counsel that no other evidence or information can be furnished, the notice of deficiency and statement of audit changes must be sustained.

The decision of the Tax Commission should be substantially in the form herewith submitted.

/s/	E. H. BEST
	Connsel

September 28, 1966 Enc. AR: cp / (6 ct. 6, 1966) STATE TAX COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF

PRINCY & JUNE BRAZE

FOR REDEFERMINATION OF A DEFICIENCY OR FOR REFUND OF PERSONAL INCOME TAXES UNDER ARTICLE 22 OF THE TAX LAW FOR THE TRANS 1942

relateralisation of a deficiency or for refund of personal income tensor under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 1962 (File No. 2-7157570) and a hearing having been held on November 24, 1965 at 85 Centre Street, New York, New York, at which the texpayors' attorney, Navelé 2. Levette, Req. appeared and the netter having been duly considered,

The State Tex Countraien hereby finder

- (1) That the temperor files a resident joint return for 1962, showing deductions of 87,555.76 for business expenses incurred by Percy Booth in his occupation of professional musician, and \$1,170 for life insurence premiums.
- (2) That a notice of deficiency was issued deptember 8, 1964, in the sun of \$120.49, based on disallowance of life insurance premiums in the amount of \$670, as expensive under section \$15(4)(1) of the Tax Lovy and disallowance of business expenses in the sun of \$3,500 as expensive and unsubstantiated, and the texpayors' income tax recomputed.

- (3) That Foloral and t adjustments were local from September 16, 1965 disablaving 83,436.32 of tempeyors' claimed business expenses for 1962.
- (4) That the temperar consents to the disallowence of \$870 for claimed deductions for life insurence president that the temperars contend that Percy Booth, a professional mesician, was required to be ever from home for 30 works in 1962 and incur expenses for \$150 per week therefore, in addition to other business expenses.
- (5) That except for botal bills in the aggregate our of 8500, the tempeyers have failed to produce any evidence to substantiate the claimed deductions for business expenses.

Based upon the foregoing findings and all of the evidence presented herein, the State Tax Commission hereby DECIDES:

- (A) That for 1962 the tempayers incurred ordinary and necessary business expenses in the sun of \$4,056.76 and that \$3,500 was properly disallowed as unsubstantiated and not shown to be expense, ordinary or necessary, in the production of income.
- (B) That for 1962 the taxpayers were estitled to deduct life incurance premiums pursuent to section \$1.5(4)(1) of the Tex Lev in the sun of \$300 and that \$870 was properly disallowed as not deductible pursuent to statute.
- (6) That, accordingly, the notice of deficiency imposing editional texas upon the tempsyers for 1968, is correct; that the said notice of deficiency does not include any tex or other charges which could not have been leadedly

demanded and that the tempoyers' patition for a redetermination or rafund with respect thereto be and the same hereby is denied.

STATE TAX COMMISSION

/s/	JOSEPH H. MURPHY
/s/	JAMES R. MACDUFF

DATED October 10, 1966