POOR QUALITY THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT (S) ARE FADED &BLURRED

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

Spence, James R. A-Z. Trany J.

STATE OF BOAR TORK

STATE TAX CONSTINCTOR

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATIONS

œ

JAMES A. STERCE, Deceased and MARY J. STERCE, Individually

POR REVISION OR NATURE OF PERSONAL INCOME TAXES UTLER ARTICLE 16 OF THE TAX LAW FOR THE TRANS 1996 AND 1997.

Many J. Spence, the temperer herein, having filed egaleestions for revision or refund of personal income temes under Article
16 of the Tax low for the years 1956 and 1957 and a hearing having
been hold in connection therewith at the office of the State Tax
Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York, S.T. on the lat day of
February, 1965 before Science Sies, Hearing Officer of the Department
of Taxation and Finance, at which hearing the temperer appeared
personally and the matter having been duly commissed and considered.

The State Tes Commission beyoby finds:

and Mary 3. Spence, filed joint New York State income ten returns for the years 1956 and 1957; that included in said returns was not forth income received by the temperary Mary 3. Spence, from a trust fund in which she was named the beneficiary; that the temperare eccentited a methematical error in the calculation of normal ten due for the year 1956; that the mount calculated by the temperare to be due was \$671.90 whereas the actual amount due should have been \$666.90; that the temperare paid the amount of \$503.91 but failed to pay the balance due of \$67.99 for the year 1956; that the temperare for the year 1957 made an apparent mathematical error in the calculation of tax and computed the same in the sum of \$686.35 instead of the carroot amount due which is \$566.35; that the temperare failed to pay any part of said texes due for the year 1957.

(2) That the State Tax Commission thereafter issued assessments for the years 1956 and 1957 for the assumt of tax remaining unpaid together with interest; that the taxpayer Mary J. Spence contended in her application for revision or refund and at the hearing that she was unable to pay the balance of the tax due and owing for each of said years.

Dased upon the foregoing findings and all of the evidence presented herein, the State Tax Commission hereby

DETERMINAS:

(A) That since the taxpayers filed joint returns for the years 1956 and 1957, their tax liability was joint and several and that the surviving speace is independently liable for the amount due for the years 1956 and 1957; that, accordingly, the assessments (Assessment Res. 8-838411 and 8-791197 for the years 1956 and 1957, respectively) are correct; that said assessments do not include any tax or other charge which could not have been lawfully demanded and that the taxpayer's applications for revision or refund with respect to the aforesaid assessments be and the same are hereby demied.

DATED: Albert, New York on the 26th day of April . 1965.

STATE TAX COMMISSION

/s/	JOSEPH H. MURPHY		
/s/	IRA J. PALESTIN Commissiones		
/s/	JAMES R. MACDUFF		

BUREAU OF LAW

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Commissioners Marphy, Palestin & Macdeff

FROM:

Solomon Sies, Hearing Officer

SUBJECT:

JAMES R. SPENCE, Deceased and MARY J. SPENCE, Individually

1956 Assessment No. B-838411 1957 Assessment No. B-791197

Article 16

A hearing with reference to the above matter was held before me at 80 Centre Street, New York, N.Y. on February 1, 1965. The appearances and the evidence produced were as shown in the stemegraphic minutes and exhibits submitted herevith.

James R. Spence and Mary J. Spence, his wife, filed joint New York Income tax returns for the years 1996 and 1997 but only paid part of the tax for 1996 and paid no part of the taxes due for 1999. The returns included income of the wife which she received from a trust fund. The husband died in 1998, The assessments were made against the taxpayers correcting an error in the calculation of normal tax and for failure to pay the full amount of the taxes computed to be due on the returns filed by them. The taxpayers wife contends that she is unable financially to pay the taxes for the years 1996 and 1997. The assessments should, therefore, be sustained and the taxpayers applications for revision or refund for the years 1996 and 1997 should be denied.

For the reasons stated above, I recommend that the determination of the Tax Commission in this matter be substantially in the form submitted herewith.

Tony Law William

	FEB 18	3 19 65	
Ā	proved	ganista gyttikle av Statemen av et av	ie.
A	prevel		•

88/kk Encls.April 14, 1965