POOR **QUALITY** THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT (S) ARE FADED &BLURRED

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

L 9 (9-63)

MEMORANDUM Greeley, Charles M.

BUREAU OF LAW

Commissioners Murphy, Palestin & Macduff ,TO:

Solomon Sies, Hearing Officer FROM:

SUBJECT: CHARLES N. CREELEY

1953 Assessment No. 3-50961 1954 Assessment No. 3-50962 1955 Assessment No. 3-540903 1956 Assessment No. 3-540904

Article 16

Formal Hearing

On December 29, 1964, I sent a notice of hearing to the taxpayer scheduling a formal hearing in the above matter for Pohyuary 1965, at 9:30 e'clock A. M. and in addition, wrote him that in view of the repeated requests for adjournments, no further adjournments would be granted and in the event of his default, a determination would be made upon the record as it is presently constituted. The temperer defaulted in appearance at said scheduled hearing.

The taxpayer is a non-resident. He is the eamer of business property, which also contains several spartments, located in Duffalo, I. T. The property is managed by a renting agent in Duffalo. The tarpayer has indicated in correspondence to the Income Tax Buream that he received from the renting agent a monthly check of about \$500.00 which is the met income after all deductions including agent's commissions. The gross rental of the property appears to be about \$12,000.00 a year and it appears that the expenses, reggirs, taxes, interest and depreciation amount to approximately \$6,000.00 a year. On his returns for the years in question, the temperer reported a not enount from the rentals that he received assumting to between \$5,300.00 to about \$6,500.00 and further itemized deductions on his returns which amounted to more than the income reported, so that there was no tax due. The taxpayer included in the deductions charitable contributions, interest on loam, automobile expenses, county taxes, tax on hotel room and depreciation on the Buffale property amounting to \$3,150.00.

The tempayer has failed to establish that the deductions claimed by him were not previously included in reporting his not income from the rental of the property. The deductions claimed by the temperer, including the charitable contributions and county, city and hotel temes where he was living in Ohio and in Florida as a non-residums, were not proper and not in accordance with subdivision 11. Section 360 of the Tax Law. I am, therefore, of the opinion that the assessments should be sustained and the taxpayer's applications for revision or refund be denied.

Por the reasons stated above, I recommend that the determination of the Tax Commission in this natter be substantially in the form submitted herewith.

APR 13 1965

SOLOMON SIES

Fearing Officer

/s/

E. H. BEST

Approved

Coumissioners Murphy, Palestin & Nacduff Page 2

STATE CAN CINCIPATION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATIONS

CF

CHARLES N. OPTRICE

FOR PRVISION OR BEFORE OF PERSONAL INCOMP TANKS INCOME ARTICLY 16 OF THE TAY LAW FOR THE YEARS 1953, 1994, 1955 AND 1956.

epplications for revision or refund of personal income taxes under article 16 of the Tax law for the years 1953, 1954, 1955 and 1956 and a notice of hearing having been sailed to the texpayer on brocesber 29, 1961 scheduling a hearing at 80 Centre Street, New York City, N.Y. for February 1, 1965 at 9:30 o'clock A. M. before Schoom sies, Hearing Officer of the Department of Issation and Finance and the texpayer having defaulted in appearance thereat and the matter having been duly examined and considered,

The State Tax Commission hereby finds:

- property located in Ruffalo, N. Y.; that the tempeyer filed meanesident New York State income tax returns for the years 1953, 1954, 1955 and 1956 in which he reported net income from the aforementioned rental property amounting to between \$5,000.00 and \$6,000.00 per year claiming thereon that he usually draws \$500.00 per south from the mean in agent in Ruffalo, N. Y.; that the tempeyer reported on his returns certain deductions amounting to approximately \$6,000.00 a year and claimed no tax due; that each the deductions elemed by the tempeyer were included charitable contributions, interest on loan, automobile expenses and depreciation on the Ruffalo property amountin to \$3,150.00.
- (2) That on February 3, 1959, the Department of Texation and Finance made additional assessments for the years 1953, 1954, 1955 and 1956 (Assessment Nos. E-540901, R-540902, R-540903 and R-

\$5,500.00 a year; that accordingly, the adjusted normal tax due was computed in the sums of \$126.00, £140.00, £121.00, and £121.00 for the years 1953, 1954, 1955 and 1956, respectively; that the taxpayer has £21124 to establish that his deduction of depreciation on the property was not previously deducted from ross income in order to arrive at the net income reported by the taxpayer; that the taxpayer further has failed to substantiate other deductions listed including interest on loan or automobile expenses, and has failed to show that the same were not personal expenses or that they were expenses in connection with the operation f the real property; that the taxpayer has further failed to show that such expenses were not previously deducted by the taxpayer in reporting his net income.

(3) That the personal deductions claimed by the temperor including charitable contributions as a non-resident were not proper and not in secondance with subdivision II, section 360 of the Tax Law:

Based upon the foregoing findings, the State Tex Commission bereby

PUTNITIVE:

(A) That, accordingly, the assessments (Assessment Ros. B-540901, B-540902, R-540903 and R-540904) for the years 1953, 1954, 1955 and 1956, respectively, are correct; that said assessments do not include any tax or other charge which could not have been laufully demanded and that the taxpayer's applications for revision or refund be and the same are hereby denied.

DATED: Albert, N.Y. on the 7th day of September . 1965.

JCSEPH H. MURPHY
Commissioner
JAMES R. MACDUFF