In the Matter of the Petition

of

BRAND GRUMET & SEIGEL, INC.

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Stock Transfer
Taxes under Article(s) 12 of the
Tax Law for the XYear(s)

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro, being duly sworn, deposes and says that
she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 5th day of March, 1973, she served the within
Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Brand Grumet &
Seigel, Inc. (representative of) the petitioner in the within
proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid
wrapper addressed as follows: Brand Grumet & Seigel, Inc.
67 Broad Street
New York, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custedy of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

5th day of March , 1973.

Jora The Dynaso

In the Matter of the Petition

of

BRAND GRUMET & SEIGEL, INC.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Stock Transfer
Taxes under Article(s) 12 of the
Tax Lawxforxkhex(Year(x))x

State of New York County of Albany

Martha Funaro , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 5th day of March , 1973 , she served the within Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon Lawrence Suslow, Esq.

(representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows: Lawrence Suslow, Esq.

c/o Martin Rosen, Esq.

10 East 40th Street

New York, New York and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custedy of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

5th day of March , 1973

Jantha Duraw



STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, PRESIDENT A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N. Y. 12227

> AREA CODE 518 457-2655, 6, 7

Dated: Albany, New York

March 5, 1973

Brand Grunet & Seigel. Inc. 67 Broad Street New York, New York

Gentlemen:

Please take notice of the

DECISION

of

STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARING UNIT

EDWARD ROOK

SECRETARY TO

COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to section 279-a the Tax Law any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within after 90 Days the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relating hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Myel I Wright Migel G. Wright

HEARING OFFICER

cc Petitioner's Representative Law Bureau

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application

of

BRAND GRUMET & SEIGEL, INC.

DECISION

for a Hearing to review a Determination of Stock Transfer Taxes due pursuant to Article 12 of the Tax Law.

Brand Grumet & Seigel, Inc. filed an application pursuant to section 279(a) of the Tax Law for a hearing to review a determination of stock transfer taxes due dated March 22, 1963, in the amount of \$3,935.00. A hearing was duly held on June 25, 1964, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, 80 Centre Street, New York City. The applicant was represented by Lawrence Suslow, Esq., of Counsel to Martin Rosen, Esq. The record of said hearing has been duly examined and considered.

ISSUE

The issue in this case is whether transfers to a nominee are taxable when that nominee is not registered with the State Tax Commission.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Brand, Grumet & Seigel, Inc. and Kesselman & Co., Inc. entered into agreements for the secondary offerings of 90,000 shares of Donnkenny, Inc. and of 160,000 shares of Associated Baby Services, Incorporated. The underwriters agreed to take title to this stock.
- 2. On April 16, 1962, the applicant transferred 72,500 shares of Donnkenny, Inc. from its name into the name of "Bragsle". A tax of \$1,450.00 has been determined to be due on this transfer.
 - 3. On April 17, 1962, the applicant transferred 4,250 shares

of Donnkenny to Edward Komar. A tax of \$85.00 has been determined to be due thereon which applicant has conceded.

- 4. On May 1, 1962, the applicant transferred 120,000 shares of Associated Baby Services, Inc. from its name into the name of "Bragsle". A tax of \$2,400.00 has been determined to be due thereon.
- 5. On November 2, 1962, the applicant registered the name "Bragsle" with the Commission as its nominee.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The transfers are taxable since the nominee was not registered with the Tax Commission at the time of the transfers (see application of Herzog & Co., Inc. CCH N. Y. State Tax Rept. ¶99-365).

DECISION

The determination of tax under review is correct in its entirety.

DATED: Albany, New York March 5, 1973

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER