Misc. Tax Determinations Stock Opanager Jan A-Z

(Revised determination submitted for signature

3008, J. a., - Co.

STATE OF NEW YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF

J. A. BOCK & CO.

TO REVIEW A DEPENDINATION ASSESSING & STOCK TRANSPER TAX PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 12 OF THE TAX LAY

J. A. Book & Co. having filed an application for a hearing to review a determination assessing a stock transfer tex in the amount of \$364.92 pursuent to Article 12 of the Tax Law (determination No. 108 dated October 10, 1960), and a hearing having been held in connection therevith at the office of the State Tax Commission, 80 Contro Street, New York, New York, on August 3, 1961 before Thomas F. McGrath, No., Rearing Officer, at which hearing the tempeyer appeared by one of the pertners, Joseph A. Sock, who testified personally, and the record having been duly exemined and considered,

The State Tex Commission hereby finds,

- (1) That at all times bereinefter mentioned, the tempeyer was a partnership engaged in business as a firm dealing in securities with offices at 20 Rushange Place, How York, How York.
- (2) That the partnership was formed on June 1, 1999 pursuent to a partnership agreement entered into between Joseph A. Rock and Scrah M. Rock, his wife; that the partnership agreement provided that each of the partners was to contribute as capital of the partnership certain securities smad by each

of the individuals; that said securities consisted of 15,296 shares of shares of stock sunod by Joseph A. Zook and 2,956 shares of stock sunod by Sarah M. Sook upon which the stock transfer tax was assessed; that assessments were issued against the perturbably based upon a transfer of such shares of stock.

(3) That the partnership agreement further provided that:

"Any increase or diminution in value of the securities contributed by any of the partners hereto shall be credited or debited to said partners account, as the case may be, and any income derived from said securities shall accrue to the partners contributing the same."

(h) That personnt to the directions of each of the individual pertocre, the shares were transferred from the account of the individuals to the pertocretity account; that the individual pertocre further directed that the dividuals received on the stock should be remitted to the individuals; that the stocks were transferred in order that the pertocretity should have assets or a receive sufficient to provide accuraty for pertocretic creditors pursuent to the raise of the New York Stock Ruchage.

Upon the foregoing findings and all of the evidence presented herein, it is hereby

DEET DED:

That although, pursuent to the articles of espertnership, the income, gain and loss attributeble to the shares of stock transferred by the individual pertners to the espertnership were secretable not to the espertnership but to the individual pertners, the transferred shares of stock were principal assets of the pertnership to which pertnership creditors present or future could

resert for setisfaction of their claims against the partnership; the partners' transfers of such shares of stock ways tenship in accordance with the intent and meaning of Section 170 of the Tex Law; that the determination (determination No. 100 deted Seteber 10, 1960) imposing a stock transfer tex of \$354.92 upon such transfer to correct and was leafully and properly issued and the especiant is hereby effigued.

DATED: Albery, New York this 19th day of August . 1965.

STATE TAX COMMESSION

/s/	JOSEPH H. MURPHY		
/s/	IRA J. PALESTIN		
/s/	JAMES R. MACDUFF		

y (d-94)

BUREAU OF LAW

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Commissioner Palestin

FROM:

Mr. Kelliher

SUBJECT:

J. A. Zock & Company

In accordance with your memorandum of July 19, the determination in this case has been redrafted to incorporate the language you set forth in that memorandum.

I return herewith this revised form of determination, together with the file and the original determination signed by Commissioner Macduff.

Assistant Director

August 2, 1965 FK: EB

Enc.

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Mr. Kelliher

FROM:

Commissioner Palestin

SUBJECT:

J. A. Zock & Company



TYA BAREYA

I agree that this "Shearson Hammill" type of stock transfer from the individual to the co-partnership for net work purposes of the latter is taxable under Article 12. But I would use different language in the first paragraph of the determination portion. Rather than say "Although the partnership had no control over the income of the shares of stock transferred to its account . . " which is an adjectival conclusion, I would substitute:

"Although, pursuant to the articles of copartnership, the income, gain and loss attributable to the shares of stock transferred
by the individual partners to the co-partnership
were accruable not to the co-partnership but
to the individual partners, the transferred
shares of stock were principal assets of the
partnership to which partnership creditors
present or future could resort for satisfaction of their claims against the partnership;
the partners' transfers of such shares of
stock were taxable in accordance with the intent and meaning of Section 270 of the Tax Law; .

Please have the determination redrawn and resubmitted.

TRA J. PALESTIN

July 19, 1965

cc: Commissioner Macduff

BUREAU OF LAW

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Commissioners Murphy, Palestin and Macduff

FROM:

Mr. Kelliher

SUBJECT:

J. A. ZOCK & COMPANY

The issue raised herein is whether a stock transfer tax was properly imposed upon transfers of shares of stock from the accounts of the individual partners to a partnership account to provide security for partnership creditors pursuant to the rules of the New York Stock Exchange where the dividend income from such stock was reserved to the individual partners.

The facts herein are similar to those set forth in Matter of Shearson Hammill & Co. v. State Tax Commission of the State of New York, 15 NY 2d 608, affirmed without opinion, 19 AD 2d 245. However, the matter before us concerns the imposition of stock transfer taxes and not that of taxes on income. I am of the opinion that since the principal of such shares of stock was transferred in order that the partnership should partnership creditors pursuant to provide security for the Stock Exchange, such transfer of principal was a taxable transfer in accordance with the intent and meaning of Section 270 of determination has been prepared.

If you agree, kindly sign the same and return to the Law Bureau together with the entire file for further processing.

Assistant Director

MS:ca Enclosure

July 15, 1965

(original determination submitted for signature 7/15/65)

STATE OF HEN YORK STATE TAX COMMISSION

> IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF J. A. EOCK & CO.

TO REVIEW A DETERMINATION ASSESSING A STOCK TRANSPER TAX PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 12 OF THE TAX LAW

J. A. Sock & Co. having filed an application for a heaving to review a determination assessing a stack transfer tax in the amount of \$354.92 permant to Article 12 of the Tax Law (determination No. 188 dated detabor 10, 1860), and a heaving having been held in connection therewith at the office of the State Tax Counterion, 80 Costre Strong, Not Task, New York, on August 3, 1861 before Thomas 7, Newville, Regage Bearing Officer, at which heaving the temperor appeared by one of the pertness, Joseph A. Sock, who testified processing and the recent having been duly counted and conditioned.

The State Tax Commission hereby finds,

- (1) That at all times haveleafter mentioned, the tempeyer was a partnership engaged in business as a firm dealing in securities with offices at 29 Ruchange Place, New York, New York.
- (1) That the partnership was formed in Jene is 1000; pursuant to a partnership agreement entered into between Joseph A. Sock and Sarch M. Sock, his wife; that the partnership agreement provided that each of the partners was to contribute as eaplied of the partners was to contribute

Holtsnieroteb isnisiro) submitted if r signature the state of the s

of the individuals; that said securities consisted of 15,296 shares of stock owned by Joseph A. Zock and 2,968 shares of stock owned by Sarah M. Zock upon which the stock transfer tax was accessed; that accessments were issued against the partnership based upon a transfer of such shares of stock.

(1) That the partnership agreement further provided that:

"Any increase or diminution in value of the securities contributed by any of the partners hereto shall be credited or debited to said partners account, as the case may be, and any income derived from said occupities shall accrue to the partners contributing the same."

(4) That pursuant to the directions of each of the individual partners, the shares were transferred from the account of the individuals to the partnership account; that the individual partners further directed that the dividuals required on the stock should be remitted to the individuals; that the stocks were transferred in order that the partnership should have assets or a reserve sufficient to provide security for partnership creditors pursuant to the rules of the New York Stock Enchange.

Upon the foregoing findings and all of the evidence presented herein, it is hereby

DECIDED:

That although the partnership had no control over the income of the shares of stock transferred to its account by the individual partners, the shares of stock were assets of the partnership as to principal to which partnership areditors present or future could recort for estisfaction of their claims against the partnership; that such transfers were tamble transfers in accordance with the intent and meaning of section 270

of the Tax Law; that the determination (determination No. 188 dated October 10, 1980) imposing a stock transfer tax of \$364,92 upon such transfer is correct and was lawfully and properly issued and the assessment is hereby affirmed.

DATED: Albany, New York this

day of

198

STATE	TAX	COMMITMETON
and the same of th	Landonno en esta de la constante de la constant	
	750	Ident
		io loner