In the Matter of the Petition

of

DANIEL E. NOONAN & PATRICIA A. NOONAN

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

Bruce Batchelor , being duly sworn, deposes and says that

she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of

age, and that on the 7th day of June , 19 77, she served the within

Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon Daniel E. Noonan &

Patricia A. Noonan(xapxasantativaxas) the petitioner in the within proceeding,

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed

as follows: Mr. & Mrs. Daniel E. Noonan

61 E. Chesire Place

Staten Island, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

Sworn to before me this

7th day of_

June

and much

, 19 77.

Bruce Batchelm

TA-3 (2/76)



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

TAX APPEALS BUREAU

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

June 7, 1977

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

TELEPHONE: (518) 457-1723

Mr. & Mrs. Daniel E. Moonan 61 E. Chesire Place Staten Island, New York

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Noonan:

Please take notice of the **Decision** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section() of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. They will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Verst truls/sours

Enc.

YAVL B. COBURN Supervising Tax Hearing Officer

cc:

Taxing Bureau's Representative:

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

DANIEL E. NOONAN and PATRICIA A. NOONAN

DECISION

for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Gift Taxes under Article 26-A of the Tax Law for the Quarter Ended December 31, 1972.

Petitioners, Daniel E. Noonan and Patricia A. Noonan, residing at 61 E. Chesire Place, Staten Island, New York, have filed an application for a redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of gift taxes under Article 26-A of the Tax Law for the quarter ended December 31, 1972. (File No. 00619, 00620).

A formal hearing was held before Paul B. Coburn, Esq., Hearing Officer, at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, Room 65-31, on November 3, 1976, at 10:45 A.M. Petitioner, Daniel E. Noonan, appeared pro se, and for his wife, Patricia A. Noonan. The Miscellaneous Tax Bureau appeared by Peter J. Crotty, Esq. (Irwin Levy, Esq. of counsel).

ISSUE

Whether a gift of stock of minority interest of a closely held corporation was properly valuated under Article 26-A of the

Tax Law utilizing a basis of average earnings capitalized at 10% with a 15% discount allowed for lack of marketability for minority interest, rather than limiting such value to book value as agreed by the parties?

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Statements of audit changes was filed against both petitioners, Daniel and Patricia Noonan, dated March 24, 1975, by the Miscellaneous Tax Bureau, showing an adjusted taxable gift of corporate stock from \$6,305.82 to \$22,009.05, with an additional tax due of \$235.55 against each taxpayer. This was based upon valuating the average earnings of the corporation over a three year period, capitalized at 10%, with a 15% discount for minority interest lack of marketability.
- 2. Notices of deficiency against both petitioner-taxpayers were filed on August 22, 1975, showing a deficiency of \$235.55 respectively, with interest due respectively of \$44.43, for a total deficiency respectively of \$279.98.
- 3. A New York State Resident Quarterly Gift Tax Return for the quarter ended December 31, 1972 had been filed by both petitioner-taxpayers, showing an original valuation of taxable gifts for the subject period as \$6,305.82, with a tax paid respectively in the amount of \$94.59.

- 4. Each petitioner filed a petition for redetermination of deficiency or for refund of tax on the transfer of property by gift under Article 26-A for the quarter ended December 31, 1972.
- 5. Petitioner submitted a stockholders' agreement for the subject corporation, Daniel E. Noonan, Inc., dated July 6, 1972, with a supplementary agreement dated November 27, 1972, which agreements encompassed the sale of corporate stock as a first offer to the corporation at <u>book value</u> by any holder thereof.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That the book value of stock in a closely held corporation does not necessarily reflect its true value, and the Miscellaneous Tax Bureau is not bound by valuations placed upon such stock by private parties.
- B. That the method of valuation utilized by the Miscellaneous Tax Bureau, using as a basis the average earnings over a three-year period, capitalized at 10%, with a 15% discount factor for lack of marketability of minority interest, was reasonable and proper under the guiding principles of the Federal Gift Tax Law (I.R.C. Section 2512, 1047; Kraus v. U.S., 140 F.2d 510; Central Trust Co. v. U.S., 305 F.2d 393). Petitioners have failed to

sustain their burden to show that the method of valuation was erroneous, and that the method they used was proper $\underline{\text{Kraus } v}$. $\underline{\text{U.S., supra}}$.

C. That accordingly, in all respects the petitions for redetermination of a deficiency are denied.

DATED: Albany, New York

June 7, 1977

STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRES IDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMITCETONED