

STATE OF NEW YORK  
STATE TAX COMMISSION

*Misc. Day 11 of 11  
Burg Warehouse  
Ale Sholie Best Cig.  
1971*

In the Matter of the Petition

of

BURG WAREHOUSE, INC.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING  
OF NOTICE OF DECISION  
BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or  
a Refund of Alcoholic Beverage & Cigarette  
Taxes under Article(s) 18 & 20 of the  
Tax Law for the (Year(s) May 1, 1964 :  
through August 31, 1964.

State of New York  
County of Albany

Linda Wilson, being duly sworn, deposes and says that  
she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of  
age, and that on the 5th day of April, 1971, she served the within  
Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon BURG WAREHOUSE,  
Inc. (representative of) the petitioner in the within  
proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid  
wrapper addressed as follows: Burg Warehouse, Inc.  
Ogdensburg, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a  
(post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of  
the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative  
of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last  
known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

5th day of April, 1971.

Barbara Fenners

Linda Wilson

STATE OF NEW YORK  
STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

BURG WAREHOUSE, INC.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING  
OF NOTICE OF DECISION  
BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or  
a Refund of Alcoholic Beverage & Cigarette  
Taxes under Article(s) 18 & 20 of the  
Tax Law for the (Year(s) May 1, 1964 :  
through August 31, 1964.

State of New York  
County of Albany

Linda Wilson, being duly sworn, deposes and says that  
she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of  
age, and that on the 5th day of April, 1971, she served the within  
Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon BURG WAREHOUSE,  
INC. (representative of) the petitioner in the within  
proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid  
wrapper addressed as follows: Burg Warehouse, Inc.  
Wellesley, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a  
(post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of  
the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative  
of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last  
known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

5th day of April, 1971.

James J. Tunnare

Linda Wilson

STATE OF NEW YORK  
STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

BURG WAREHOUSE, INC.

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or  
a Refund of Alcoholic Beverage & Cigarette  
Taxes under Article(s) 18 & 20 of the  
Tax Law for the (Year(s) May 1, 1964 :  
through August 31, 1964

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING  
OF NOTICE OF DECISION  
BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York  
County of Albany

Linda Wilson, being duly sworn, deposes and says that  
she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of  
age, and that on the 5th day of April, 1971, she served the within  
Notice of Decision (or Determination) by (certified) mail upon EDMUND L. SHEA,  
ESQ. (representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid  
wrapper addressed as follows: Edmund L. Shea, Esq.  
315 State Street  
Ogdensburg, New York 13669

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a  
(post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of  
the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative  
of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last  
known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

5th day of April, 1971

Barbara Turner

Linda Wilson

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

-----

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION

OF

BURG WAREHOUSE, INC.

FOR A HEARING TO REVIEW A DETERMINATION  
OF THE STATE TAX COMMISSION ASSESSING  
ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE TAXES UNDER ARTICLE  
18 OF THE TAX LAW FOR THE PERIOD MAY 1,  
1964 THROUGH AUGUST 31, 1964

-----

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION

OF

BURG WAREHOUSE, INC.

FOR A HEARING TO REVIEW A DETERMINATION  
OF THE STATE TAX COMMISSION ASSESSING  
CIGARETTE TAXES UNDER ARTICLE 20 OF THE  
TAX LAW FOR THE PERIOD MAY 1, 1964  
THROUGH AUGUST 31, 1964

-----

Burg Warehouse, Inc. having filed applications to review determinations of the State Tax Commission assessing alcoholic beverage taxes under Article 18 of the Tax Law and cigarette taxes under Article 20 of the Tax Law for the period May 1, 1964 through August 31, 1964, and a joint hearing having been held on both applications before Alfred Rubinstein, Hearing Officer of the Department of Taxation and Finance at the office of the State Tax Commission, 1500 Genesee Street, Utica, New York on February 7 and February 8, 1967, at which hearing the taxpayer appeared by its Vice President, Francis B. Burns and by its Counsel, Edmund L. Shea, Esq., Christopher B. Acker, Esq., of Counsel, and the Department of Taxation and Finance, Miscellaneous Tax Bureau having appeared by its Counsel, Edward H. Best, Esq., Bernard Heller, Esq., of Counsel, and the matter having been duly examined and considered,

The State Tax Commission hereby finds:

the 1990s, the number of people in the world who are undernourished has declined from 1.1 billion to 800 million. The number of people who are malnourished has declined from 1.5 billion to 1 billion. The number of people who are obese has increased from 100 million to 300 million. The number of people who are overweight has increased from 100 million to 300 million. The number of people who are obese and overweight has increased from 100 million to 300 million. The number of people who are obese and overweight has increased from 100 million to 300 million.

[illegible][illegible]

(1) That during the period May 1, 1964 through August 31, 1964 Burg Warehouse, Inc., a domestic corporation, was engaged in the sale at retail, of alcoholic beverages, by the bottle, and cigarettes, by the carton in New York State, at "duty-free" stores at Ogdensburg, New York and Wellesley Island, New York; that at both stores the taxpayer conducted its business activities under export permits issued by the United States Treasury Department, on filing of bonds by the taxpayer; that at both locations the taxpayer's wares were received in bulk, broken down into bottles and cartons, and stored in premises denominated "bonded warehouses" by the Treasury Department; that at both locations the taxpayer neither collected nor remitted any Federal excise taxes, or duties on goods of foreign origin, with respect to alcoholic beverages and cigarettes sold by it; that at both locations the taxpayer neither collected nor paid any New York State excise taxes imposed on sales of alcoholic beverages under Article 18 of the Tax Law or imposed on possession of cigarettes under Article 20 of the Tax Law; that the taxpayer never registered with the State of New York as a distributor of alcoholic beverages under Article 18 of the Tax Law, or as a dealer in cigarettes under Article 20 of the Tax Law.

(2) That at Ogdensburg, New York, the taxpayer conducted its business activities at premises located north of the United States Customs Station, on the northbound approach to the Ogdensburg Bridge, one-quarter mile south of the United States-Canadian border. That said premises occupied by the taxpayer were situated wholly in New York State, on land owned by and leased from the Ogdensburg Bridge and Port Authority, a public benefit corporation, organized and existing pursuant to the New York State Public Authorities Law; that sales and deliveries of alcoholic beverages and cigarettes at the premises were made over-the-counter, the customers carrying their purchases out of the store premises to an adjacent parking area; that said premises were situated adjacent to the St. Lawrence State Hospital and a road thereon leading to Ogdensburg, available for use by any persons

entering the Hospital grounds and separated from the Hospital grounds by a fence which contained two breaches allowing access by pedestrians to the parking area provided by the taxpayer for use by its customers; that vehicles leaving the premises could return southbound to Ogdensburg without crossing the Ogdensburg Bridge to Canada; that vehicles crossing the Bridge to Canada could immediately return to New York after crossing the Bridge; that the United States Customs officer stationed on duty at the store, who was engaged in inspecting the bonded warehouse portion of the premises, and in other duties, was unable to keep the exterior portion of the premises under observation sufficiently to compel customers to proceed to Canada, or to prevent or intercept the return to New York of alcoholic beverages and cigarettes purchased from the taxpayer; that no part of the said premises occupied by the taxpayer or used in its business activities was situated in a free trade zone or foreign trade zone as designated under Chapter 1A of Title 19 of the United States Code.

(3) That at Wellesley Island, New York the taxpayer conducted its business activities at premises located one-half mile south of the United States Customs Station on the northbound approach to the Thousand Islands Bridge three-quarters of a mile south of the United States-Canadian border; that said premises occupied by the taxpayer were situated wholly in New York State on land owned by the taxpayer; that all sales were made at the premises where the customer paid for his purchases and received a receipt; that employees of the taxpayer then delivered the purchases, in packages, to the United States Customs officer on duty one-half mile to the north and one-quarter mile south of the Thousand Islands Bridge; that the customs officer then delivered the package to the customer, on presentation of the receipt, and the customer then proceeded north toward Canada; that all sales were made on taxpayer's premises wholly within the State of New York; that all deliveries were made wholly within the

State of New York, on land owned by the Thousand Islands Bridge Authority, a public benefit corporation, organized and existing pursuant to the New York State Public Authorities Law; that vehicles leaving the United States Customs Station south of the Thousand Islands Bridge could immediately return to New York after crossing the Bridge; that the officers on duty at the United States Customs Station at the Thousand Islands Bridge were unable to keep such vehicles under observation sufficiently to prevent or intercept the return to New York of alcoholic beverages and cigarettes purchased from the taxpayer; that no part of said premises where the taxpayer made its sales or effected deliveries, or otherwise used by the taxpayer in its business activities was situated in a free trade zone or foreign trade zone as designated under Chapter 1A of Title 19 of the United States Code.

(4) That at both of its stores, at which business was conducted seven days a week, the taxpayer prepared an invoice for each sale, in the name and city of residence as given by the purchaser, without verification by inspection of license or otherwise, and advised each purchaser of the limitation imposed by Canadian customs on the quantities of alcoholic beverages and cigarettes permitted entry into Canada; that sales were made by the taxpayer to customers of quantities of alcoholic beverages and cigarettes in excess of the legal limitation permitted entry into Canada; that such sales in excess of the legal limit permitted entry into Canada were made by the taxpayer with knowledge that such excess would be denied legal entry into Canada, and if not illegally transported into Canada, would be returned to the United States; that United States customs procedures, at both the Ogdensburg, New York and the Wellesley Island, New York border crossings were lax and poorly enforced and known to be so to the taxpayer; that many of the customers of taxpayer who purchased alcoholic beverages and cigarettes did not proceed to Canada but remained in New York or returned to the United States immediately



or a short time after crossing the border to Canada; that the failure of some of its customers to proceed to Canada, and the expeditious return to the United States of other customers who did proceed to Canada were known to the taxpayer; that substantial quantities of alcoholic beverages and cigarettes sold by the taxpayer remained in the United States; that additional substantial quantities of alcoholic beverages and cigarettes sold by the taxpayer were returned to the United States; that substantial quantities of taxpayer's alcoholic beverages and cigarettes, otherwise unaccounted for, were reported to the United States Treasury Department as inventory shortages and the respective Federal taxes and duties, where applicable, paid thereon; that none of such inventory shortages were reported to the State of New York; that no taxes were paid to the State of New York on such inventory shortages; that the taxpayer knew that alcoholic beverages and cigarettes sold by it were being retained and not returned to the State of New York and that such alcoholic beverages and cigarettes remained in the internal commerce of the State of New York and the United States.

(5) That on October 7, 1964, after audit of the taxpayer's books and records for the period May 1, 1964 through August 31, 1964, the Miscellaneous Tax Bureau of the Department of Taxation and Finance issued determinations assessing the taxpayer \$3,951.97 for alcoholic beverage taxes (determination No. 124) under Article 18 of the Tax Law and \$2,238.60 for cigarette taxes (determination No. 74) under Article 20 of the Tax Law; that the taxpayer filed applications for hearings to review the determinations on November 5, 1964; that the taxpayer concedes that the audit on which the determinations were based accurately reflects the information contained in taxpayer's books and records with respect to quantities of alcoholic beverages and cigarettes purchased and sold by the taxpayer during the period May 1, 1964 through August 31, 1964.

Based upon the foregoing findings and all of the evidence presented herein, the State Tax Commission hereby

**DETERMINES:**



(A) That during the period May 1, 1964 through August 31, 1964 the taxpayer was engaged in the sale of alcoholic beverages in the State of New York as a distributor within the meaning of subdivision (4) of section 420 of the Tax Law; that with respect to any alcoholic beverages received by the taxpayer from any distributors against whom the State of New York may have been without power to impose alcoholic beverage taxes, the taxpayer was during such period, a distributor within the meaning of section 425 of the Tax Law; that the alcoholic beverages purchased and sold by the taxpayer during such period were subject to the excise taxes levied and imposed by section 424 of the Tax Law.

(B) That during the period May 1, 1964 through August 31, 1964 the taxpayer was engaged in the sale of cigarettes in the State of New York, as a dealer within the meaning of subdivision (5) of section 470 of the Tax Law; that the cigarettes sold by the taxpayer during such period were subject to the taxes imposed by section 471 and 471-a of the Tax Law.

(C) That, accordingly, the determination issued October 7, 1964, assessing alcoholic beverage taxes and penalty against the taxpayer in the total sum of \$3,951.97 is correct; that the determination issued October 7, 1964, assessing cigarette taxes and penalty against the taxpayer in the total sum of \$2,238.60 is correct; that the amounts set forth on such assessments are due and owing together with interest and other statutory charges; that said assessments do not include any tax or other charge which could not have been lawfully demanded, and that taxpayer's applications for review with respect thereto by and the same hereby are denied.

Dated: Albany, New York this 5<sup>th</sup> day of April 1971.

STATE TAX COMMISSION

Norman Gallman  
PRESIDENT

Beuss Manley  
COMMISSIONER

Milton Korman  
COMMISSIONER

( )

John W. Burtch, Jr. and Robert J. Burtch, Jr. are co-authors of a book titled "The Art of the Deal: How to Negotiate Like a Pro."

1. The first part of the paper is devoted to the study of the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of the system (1) as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . It is shown that the solutions of the system (1) converge to the solutions of the system (2) in the sense of the weak convergence in the space  $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ .

10. What is the difference between a "strong" and a "weak" acid?

[illegible]

... .. ( )

10. The following information is available for the year ended December 31, 2013:

can be used to estimate the number of individuals in a group.

FILE NO. 49-6807-1000

It is a pleasure to present this set of papers to you, and to

Copyright © 1987 by McGraw-Hill Education, Inc.

number of *Chironomus tentans* and *Chironomus tentans* found in the same sample.

*Chrysomelids*

[illegible]
$$[b, c] = \text{comm}(b, c) = [a, b]c - b[a, c] = (ab - ba)c - b(a - ca) = ab - ba + ac - ca = 0$$

Figure 1. The effect of the number of trials on the number of correct responses. The number of correct responses was significantly higher than the number of incorrect responses in all conditions. The number of correct responses was significantly higher than the number of incorrect responses in all conditions. The number of correct responses was significantly higher than the number of incorrect responses in all conditions.

.....



STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

BUILDING 9, ROOM 214A

STATE CAMPUS

ALBANY, N. Y. 12226

AREA CODE 518

457-2655, 6, 7

STATE TAX COMMISSION

NORMAN F. GALLMAN, ACTING PRESIDENT

A. BRUCE MANLEY

MILTON KOERNER

*Charles Ber...*  
*Art 18*  
STATE TAX COMMISSION

HEARING UNIT

EDWARD ROOK

SECRETARY TO  
COMMISSION

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

Albany, New York

April 5, 1971

Burg Warehouse, Inc.  
Wellesley, New York

Gentlemen:

Please take notice of the DECISIONS of  
the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to sections 430 & 478  
the Tax Law any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision  
must be commenced within 30 days after  
the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed  
in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relat-  
ing hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred  
to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Lawrence A. Newman  
HEARING OFFICER

cc Petitioner's Representative  
Law Bureau

**STATE OF NEW YORK**

**STATE TAX COMMISSION**

.....  
**IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION**

**OF**

**BURG WAREHOUSE, INC.**

**FOR A HEARING TO REVIEW A DETERMINATION  
OF THE STATE TAX COMMISSION ASSESSING  
ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE TAXES UNDER ARTICLE  
18 OF THE TAX LAW FOR THE PERIOD MAY 1,  
1964 THROUGH AUGUST 31, 1964**

.....  
**IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION**

**OF**

**BURG WAREHOUSE, INC.**

**FOR A HEARING TO REVIEW A DETERMINATION  
OF THE STATE TAX COMMISSION ASSESSING  
CIGARETTE TAXES UNDER ARTICLE 20 OF THE  
TAX LAW FOR THE PERIOD MAY 1, 1964  
THROUGH AUGUST 31, 1964**

.....

**Burg Warehouse, Inc. having filed applications to review determinations of the State Tax Commission assessing alcoholic beverage taxes under Article 18 of the Tax Law and cigarette taxes under Article 20 of the Tax Law for the period May 1, 1964 through August 31, 1964, and a joint hearing having been held on both applications before Alfred Rubinstein, Hearing Officer of the Department of Taxation and Finance at the office of the State Tax Commission, 1500 Genesee Street, Utica, New York on February 7 and February 8, 1967, at which hearing the taxpayer appeared by its Vice President, Francis B. Burns and by its Counsel, Edmund L. Shea, Esq., Christopher B. Acker, Esq., of Counsel, and the Department of Taxation and Finance, Miscellaneous Tax Bureau having appeared by its Counsel, Edward H. Best, Esq., Bernard Heller, Esq., of Counsel, and the matter having been duly examined and considered,**

**The State Tax Commission hereby finds:**

(1) That during the period May 1, 1964 through August 31, 1964 Burg Warehouse, Inc., a domestic corporation, was engaged in the sale at retail, of alcoholic beverages, by the bottle, and cigarettes, by the carton in New York State, at "duty-free" stores at Ogdensburg, New York and Wellesley Island, New York; that at both stores the taxpayer conducted its business activities under export permits issued by the United States Treasury Department, on filing of bonds by the taxpayer; that at both locations the taxpayer's wares were received in bulk, broken down into bottles and cartons, and stored in premises denominated "bonded warehouses" by the Treasury Department; that at both locations the taxpayer neither collected nor remitted any Federal excise taxes, or duties on goods of foreign origin, with respect to alcoholic beverages and cigarettes sold by it; that at both locations the taxpayer neither collected nor paid any New York State excise taxes imposed on sales of alcoholic beverages under Article 18 of the Tax Law or imposed on possession of cigarettes under Article 20 of the Tax Law; that the taxpayer never registered with the State of New York as a distributor of alcoholic beverages under Article 18 of the Tax Law, or as a dealer in cigarettes under Article 20 of the Tax Law.

(2) That at Ogdensburg, New York, the taxpayer conducted its business activities at premises located north of the United States Customs Station, on the northbound approach to the Ogdensburg Bridge, one-quarter mile south of the United States-Canadian border. That said premises occupied by the taxpayer were situated wholly in New York State, on land owned by and leased from the Ogdensburg Bridge and Port Authority, a public benefit corporation, organized and existing pursuant to the New York State Public Authorities Law; that sales and deliveries of alcoholic beverages and cigarettes at the premises were made over-the-counter, the customers carrying their purchases out of the store premises to an adjacent parking area; that said premises were situated adjacent to the St. Lawrence State Hospital and a road thereon leading to Ogdensburg, available for use by any persons

entering the Hospital grounds and separated from the Hospital grounds by a fence which contained two branches allowing access by pedestrians to the parking area provided by the taxpayer for use by its customers; that vehicles leaving the premises could return southbound to Ogdensburg without crossing the Ogdensburg Bridge to Canada; that vehicles crossing the Bridge to Canada could immediately return to New York after crossing the Bridge; that the United States Customs officer stationed on duty at the store, who was engaged in inspecting the bonded warehouse portion of the premises, and in other duties, was unable to keep the exterior portion of the premises under observation sufficiently to compel customers to proceed to Canada, or to prevent or intercept the return to New York of alcoholic beverages and cigarettes purchased from the taxpayer; that no part of the said premises occupied by the taxpayer or used in its business activities was situated in a free trade zone or foreign trade zone as designated under Chapter 1A of Title 19 of the United States Code.

(3) That at Wellesley Island, New York the taxpayer conducted its business activities at premises located one-half mile south of the United States Customs Station on the northbound approach to the Thousand Islands Bridge three-quarters of a mile south of the United States-Canadian border; that said premises occupied by the taxpayer were situated wholly in New York State on land owned by the taxpayer; that all sales were made at the premises where the customer paid for his purchases and received a receipt; that employees of the taxpayer then delivered the purchases, in packages, to the United States Customs officer on duty one-half mile to the north and one-quarter mile south of the Thousand Islands Bridge; that the customs officer then delivered the package to the customer, on presentation of the receipt, and the customer then proceeded north toward Canada; that all sales were made on taxpayer's premises wholly within the State of New York; that all deliveries were made wholly within the





State of New York, on land owned by the Thousand Islands Bridge Authority, a public benefit corporation, organized and existing pursuant to the New York State Public Authorities Law; that vehicles leaving the United States Customs Station south of the Thousand Islands Bridge could immediately return to New York after crossing the Bridge; that the officers on duty at the United States Customs Station at the Thousand Islands Bridge were unable to keep such vehicles under observation sufficiently to prevent or intercept the return to New York of alcoholic beverages and cigarettes purchased from the taxpayer; that no part of said premises where the taxpayer made its sales or effected deliveries, or otherwise used by the taxpayer in its business activities was situated in a free trade zone or foreign trade zone as designated under Chapter 1A of Title 19 of the United States Code.

(4) That at both of its stores, at which business was conducted seven days a week, the taxpayer prepared an invoice for each sale, in the name and city of residence as given by the purchaser, without verification by inspection of license or otherwise, and advised each purchaser of the limitation imposed by Canadian customs on the quantities of alcoholic beverages and cigarettes permitted entry into Canada; that sales were made by the taxpayer to customers of quantities of alcoholic beverages and cigarettes in excess of the legal limitation permitted entry into Canada; that such sales in excess of the legal limit permitted entry into Canada were made by the taxpayer with knowledge that such excess would be denied legal entry into Canada, and if not illegally transported into Canada, would be returned to the United States; that United States customs procedures, at both the Ogdensburg, New York and the Wellfleet Island, New York border crossings were lax and poorly enforced and known to be so to the taxpayer; that many of the customers of taxpayer who purchased alcoholic beverages and cigarettes did not proceed to Canada but remained in New York or returned to the United States immediately

or a short time after crossing the border to Canada; that the failure of some of its customers to proceed to Canada, and the expeditious return to the United States of other customers who did proceed to Canada were known to the taxpayer; that substantial quantities of alcoholic beverages and cigarettes sold by the taxpayer remained in the United States; that additional substantial quantities of alcoholic beverages and cigarettes sold by the taxpayer were returned to the United States; that substantial quantities of taxpayer's alcoholic beverages and cigarettes, otherwise unaccounted for, were reported to the United States Treasury Department as inventory shortages and the respective Federal taxes and duties, where applicable, paid thereon; that none of such inventory shortages were reported to the State of New York; that no taxes were paid to the State of New York on such inventory shortages; that the taxpayer knew that alcoholic beverages and cigarettes sold by it were being retained and not returned to the State of New York and that such alcoholic beverages and cigarettes remained in the internal commerce of the State of New York and the United States.

(5) That on October 7, 1964, after audit of the taxpayer's books and records for the period May 1, 1964 through August 31, 1964, the Miscellaneous Tax Bureau of the Department of Taxation and Finance issued determinations assessing the taxpayer \$3,951.97 for alcoholic beverage taxes (determination No. 124) under Article 18 of the Tax Law and \$2,238.60 for cigarette taxes (determination No. 74) under Article 20 of the Tax Law; that the taxpayer filed applications for hearings to review the determinations on November 5, 1964; that the taxpayer concedes that the audit on which the determinations were based accurately reflects the information contained in taxpayer's books and records with respect to quantities of alcoholic beverages and cigarettes purchased and sold by the taxpayer during the period May 1, 1964 through August 31, 1964.

Based upon the foregoing findings and all of the evidence presented herein, the State Tax Commission hereby

**DETERMINES:**



(A) That during the period May 1, 1964 through August 31, 1964 the taxpayer was engaged in the sale of alcoholic beverages in the State of New York as a distributor within the meaning of subdivision (4) of section 420 of the Tax Law; that with respect to any alcoholic beverages received by the taxpayer from any distributors against whom the State of New York may have been without power to impose alcoholic beverage taxes, the taxpayer was during such period, a distributor within the meaning of section 423 of the Tax Law; that the alcoholic beverages purchased and sold by the taxpayer during such period were subject to the excise taxes levied and imposed by section 424 of the Tax Law.

(B) That during the period May 1, 1964 through August 31, 1964 the taxpayer was engaged in the sale of cigarettes in the State of New York, as a dealer within the meaning of subdivision (5) of section 470 of the Tax Law; that the cigarettes sold by the taxpayer during such period were subject to the taxes imposed by section 471 and 471-a of the Tax Law.

(C) That, accordingly, the determination issued October 7, 1964, assessing alcoholic beverage taxes and penalty against the taxpayer in the total sum of \$3,931.97 is correct; that the determination issued October 7, 1964, assessing cigarette taxes and penalty against the taxpayer in the total sum of \$2,238.60 is correct; that the amounts set forth on such assessments are due and owing together with interest and other statutory charges; that said assessments do not include any tax or other charge which could not have been lawfully demanded, and that taxpayer's applications for review with respect thereto by and the same hereby are denied.

Dated: Albany, New York this 5<sup>th</sup> day of April 1971.

STATE TAX COMMISSION

Norman Gelman  
PRESIDENT

Bruce Manley  
COMMISSIONER

Milton Korman  
COMMISSIONER

AD 22 (2-70) 50M

STATE OF NEW YORK  
Department of Taxation and Finance  
STATE CAMPUS  
ALBANY, N. Y. 12226

NO SUCH POST OFFICE  
IN STATE

Burg Warehouse, Inc.  
Wellesley, New York

Lawrence A.  
Wideman



**BUREAU OF LAW  
MEMORANDUM**

**TO: Deputy Commissioner Purcell**  
**FROM: James Scott**  
**SUBJECT: Transfer of File**  
**Burg Warehouse, Inc.**

The taxpayer in the foregoing matter has failed to institute an Article 78 proceeding within the statutory period of time.

Said file is herewith returned for further administrative disposition.

**Associate Attorney**

**JS:lk**  
**Enc.**  
**cc: Edward Rock**

**June 14, 1971**